

EXHIBIT 1

Certified Transcript, FINRA Arbitration No. 24-02286, Hearing Session of May 7,
2025 (Pamela S. Warren, certified November 5, 2025)

1 MR. HYMEN: Thank you.

2 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I would just like
3 to thank everybody for being here. It is truly
4 appreciated. Your time -- I know everybody is
5 busy and -- with our lives, and this was
6 important and it is truly, truly appreciated, for
7 each and every one of you.

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

9 MR. MCGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, if I may.

10 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Yes.

11 MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you again for
12 being here and for your patience during the
13 preceding prehearing conferences.

14 I have a couple of issues --
15 housekeeping issues I think we have to address.
16 I note that Dr. Desai --

17 DR. DESAI: Desai.

18 MR. MCGUIRE: -- is present. I also
19 note that Ms. Ullman is present. These are
20 percipient witnesses, and I don't believe --

21 MS. TEPPER: And Jerry too.

22 MR. MCGUIRE: -- that they can be
23 present during the opening arguments. They can
24 be called as witnesses at the appropriate time.

1 But I don't think it is appropriate that
2 percipient witnesses, fact witnesses, have the
3 opportunity to listen to opening statements and
4 any other testimony. So I'm going to --

5 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Well, that --

6 MS. TEPPER: Wait --

7 MR. MCGUIRE: -- if I could finish
8 please, Mr. Weiner.

9 I'm going to ask that they be
10 directed to the breakout room until the
11 appropriate time when they are called as
12 witnesses.

13 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

14 Mr. Weiner, you can respond.

15 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Yeah, I think that
16 the truth and the whole truth and nothing but the
17 truth should be heard. And if they are bringing
18 up something in the opening statement that's
19 contrary to the actual facts of what Nick is
20 going to testimony against, he needs to hear that
21 so he can refute it.

22 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. My
23 understanding is that Dr. Desai -- I hope I am --

24 MS. TEPPER: And Nick.

1 MR. TEPPER WEINER: And Nick.

2 And Nick is in person. There is
3 no breakout room.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And that
5 Dr. Desai is a medical doctor who is -- who is
6 going to be presented as an expert witness, and I
7 think Nona Ullman is also a physical therapist
8 or --

9 MR. TEPPER WEINER: No.

10 MS. TEPPER: No.

11 MR. TEPPER WEINER: She's Lawre's
12 daughter, my sister.

13 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

14 MR. TEPPER WEINER: But she witnessed
15 a bunch of the cognitive decline and a bunch of
16 other things.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Oh, okay. Are
18 there -- and --

19 MR. TEPPER WEINER: And Nick, who is
20 sitting beside us.

21 MS. TEPPER: He was Lawre's physical
22 therapist.

23 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. I'm
24 sorry, what -- Nick, what is your last name?

1 MR. GORSLINE: Gorsline.

2 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Can you spell
3 that, please?

4 MR. GORSLINE: Yes, sir. It is
5 G-O-R-S-L-I-N-E.

6 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And I
7 understand -- you're a physical therapist and
8 you're also being called as an expert
9 (unintelligible), correct?

10 MS. TEPPER: Yes.

11 MR. GORSLINE: Yes.

12 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.
13 Well, I'm going to allow you to remain then
14 because you are expert witnesses and you are
15 giving the --

16 MR. McGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, if I can
17 object to that. These are not expert
18 witnesses --

19 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Thank you. Thank
20 you.

21 MR. McGUIRE: While they have a
22 certain expertise, and of course we will not deny
23 that the physical therapist has expertise in
24 physical therapy and, of course, a doctor has an

1 expertise in medical science, they are not
2 testifying as experts. They are testifying as to
3 what they observed. That makes them fact
4 witnesses, not expert witnesses.

5 As a result, while I
6 respectfully hear what you have said, I think
7 you're starting from a false premise. They are
8 fact witnesses. They are here to tell you what
9 they saw, heard, understood, believed. Not to
10 testify about their expertise.

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Mr. Weiner,
12 would you like to respond to that?

13 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Yes, I would.
14 There has been significant fictional statements
15 from Mr. McGuire and multiple dilatory tactics to
16 derail the truth. And I feel that the reason
17 he's strongly objecting to this is that he's
18 going to be bringing things up in his opening
19 statement that is not fact and it is going to be
20 relevant to their testimony. And they need to
21 know so that they can counter that. Any
22 misstatements of fact they need to be able to
23 counter, period.

24 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

1 MR. McGUIRE: And that's why you
2 exclude percipient witnesses so that the
3 witnesses testify as to their memory, their
4 experience, not that they tailor their testimony
5 based on what they have heard prior to their
6 testimony.

7 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. I
8 appreciate the arguments. I agree to a certain
9 extent with Mr. McGuire that they are certainly
10 going to be expected to testify about the facts
11 that they have experienced. However, they have
12 also been called -- they have also been named as
13 expert witnesses, and I believe, based on what I
14 have seen, that they are also going to be called
15 upon to provide some expert opinions. And so for
16 that purpose, I will allow them to remain.

17 Thank you.

18 MR. McGUIRE: Thank you, Mr. Ordower.

19 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Thank you so much.

20 MR. McGUIRE: (Unintelligible) thank
21 you.

22 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.
23 Thank you.

24 All right. Then Mr. -- anything

1 else, Mr. McGuire, before we begin?

2 MR. MCGUIRE: In reviewing quickly the
3 exhibit packet submitted by Mr. Weiner, the first
4 12 pages are not evidence. The first 12 pages
5 are argument. And as a result, I'm asking that
6 those 12 pages be omitted and not admitted as to
7 evidence in this proceeding.

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. I have
9 to say I was looking through the documents online
10 this morning, and I did not even see the exhibits
11 from the claimant, unfortunately. So I don't
12 know -- Mr. Weiner, when did you file
13 those -- when did you upload those to FINRA?

14 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Last night. And
15 then all that what (unintelligible) --

16 (Simultaneous speakers.)

17 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Mr. McGuire, I'm
18 speaking.

19 MR. MCGUIRE: Can I ask what time did
20 you --

21 MS. TEPPER: You're interrupting me,
22 Mr. McGuire. I'm speaking.

23 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Yes.

24 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Please don't.

1 What I uploaded, what he's
2 objecting to, I'm literally going to be reading
3 my hearing statement, and that's a transcript of
4 exactly what I am going to be stating. I'm not
5 going to be veering from it and I just thought it
6 would be good for everybody to have an exact
7 transcript as well as the recording.

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Well,
9 then we won't take that as an exhibit but
10 we -- but argument.

11 But it is a little bit concerning
12 to me that I -- Mr. McGuire, did you receive the
13 claimants's exhibits through the FINRA portal or
14 directly from Mr. Weiner?

15 MR. MCGUIRE: Last night through the
16 portal at approximately 10:00 P.M.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

18 MR. MCGUIRE: I'm trying to make
19 (unintelligible) it was extremely late.

20 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Well, I'm not
21 as concerned about it being late as I am about my
22 ability to review them. And let me just check to
23 see if --

24 MR. MCGUIRE: I think Theresa is still

1 on, Mr. Ordower. Maybe she can help make.

2 MS. BYRD: I'm here. I'm here.

3 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Can you --

4 MS. BYRD: Yes. We received the
5 documents on the portal. As is indicated it was
6 late, so it was well after hours. So our office
7 is in the process of processing, I believe it
8 was, both respondent and claimants's submissions.
9 We hope to have those uploaded to you probably
10 hopefully within the next few minutes.

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
12 right. So just so you know, Mr. Weiner, I don't
13 have the benefit of seeing those yet. But I'm
14 sure I will see those soon.

15 But keep in mind as you refer to
16 them that I may not yet be able to see them.

17 So with that said then,
18 Mr. Weiner, would you like to make your opening
19 statement?

20 MS. TEPPER: I'll be doing the opening
21 statement.

22 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.
23 Mr. Tepper, sure.

24 MS. TEPPER: Okay. Your Honor, okay.

1 This case is about wrongfully increasing the
2 management fees on three accounts owned by
3 cogni- -- owned by a cognitively impaired senior,
4 my husband, when he was 80 years old. The case
5 also includes a wrongful percentage increase in
6 the senior, a larger increase on -- than the
7 broker said --

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Well, I'm
9 sorry. Let me interrupt. I'm going to ask
10 that -- because I'm hearing some background
11 noise -- that other people please mute their
12 microphones while someone else is speaking.
13 Thank you.

14 Okay. Good. Go ahead,
15 Ms. Tepper.

16 MS. TEPPER: Well, I'm just mentioning
17 the things. Okay. The opening statement.

18 False reasons for the increase,
19 malfeasance on the part of the broker and
20 Oppenheimer's complaint department, and finally
21 being forced into an arbitration to rectify the
22 wrongdoing.

23 We will prove Lawre was
24 cognitively impaired. We will show the actual

1 fees charged and their percentages in each of the
2 three accounts. We will show the malfeasance on
3 the part of the broker and the Oppenheimer
4 complaint department. We will also show the
5 false reasons given for the increase. And
6 finally we will show that we had no alternative
7 but to engage in the arbitration to right the
8 wrong.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All
10 right. Thank you. I assume that concludes your
11 statement?

12 MS. TEPPER: Uh-huh.

13 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.
14 Thank you.

15 Mr. McGuire, would you like to
16 make an opening statement?

17 And you're on mute.

18 MR. TEPPER WEINER: As he should be.

19 MR. MCGUIRE: You know, I -- as we
20 just heard Mr. Weiner make a pejorative comment
21 that I should be on mute, and I'm going to ask in
22 fact we try to remain professional as we go
23 through this.

24 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Apologize.

1 MR. McGUIRE: The -- and again, the
2 mumbling behind my comments in my opening
3 statement are just not appreciated.

4 This has been an unfortunate
5 proceeding that really is just a dispute
6 over -- it is a business dispute. The
7 ult- -- the first statement of claim as submitted
8 remarkably refers -- reference this as equivalent
9 to being raped.

10 This is a business dispute. We
11 were going to present -- we will present evidence
12 that there was no indication that Lawrence Weiner
13 had any cognitive decline at the time he agreed
14 to a rate increase for his managed accounts as a
15 result of a change in investment objectives.

16 Now earlier on, Mr. Ordower, I
17 had pointed out that I don't have the ability to
18 cross examine the witnesses presented by
19 claimant. And I know you don't have our
20 PowerPoint yet, but cross examination is beyond
21 any doubt the greatest legal engine ever invented
22 for the discovery of the truth. That comes from
23 Wigmore on Evidence. And I'm sure you and I both
24 recognize that book.

1 But, these rules don't allow for
2 cross. So I have to ask you, Mr. Ordower, to
3 utilize inductive reasoning. I need you, in
4 order to make a fair decision, to look at the
5 contemporaneous evidence that we're going to
6 present. The evidence that was available to
7 Jerald Hymen, the registered representative
8 servicing Mr. Weiner, to determine whether or not
9 in fact there was cognitive decline in January
10 2021, not 2023, but in January 2021, and that
11 Mr. Weiner did not have the capacity to agree to
12 a change in investment objectives and
13 concurrently to agree to an increase in the fees
14 to manage his accounts.

15 Now, let's put this into context.
16 Mr. Weiner's accounts were profitable over
17 \$450,000. He took his required minimum
18 distributions during the course of time he had an
19 account with Oppenheimer, \$381,000. His
20 investment objectives were met. And as I said in
21 an earlier proceeding, we're not a church; we're
22 a brokerage firm. We're allowed to get paid for
23 our services.

24 Now let's talk about some

1 evidence that will be presented so that you can
2 make an informed judgment about whether or not
3 his claim should be denied.

4 Lawrence Weiner's Oppenheimer
5 accounts were electronically accessed 6 hundred 1
6 thousand -- I'm sorry 6,183 times. 394 -- I'm
7 sorry -- 3,984 prior to his passing, and 2,200
8 times after he passed. So while Mr. Weiner was
9 alive, 3,984 times he had the ability to look up
10 his Oppenheimer accounts.

11 You'll see the email traffic
12 between Mr. Hymen and Mr. Weiner. It is in the
13 thousands. You'll see the email traffic between
14 Mr. Hymen, Ms. Tepper, and Jordan Weiner.
15 Hundreds of emails.

16 Never, ever did any of the -- did
17 the claimant or claimant's son inform Mr. Hymen
18 that he was not able to understand that he was
19 agreeing to a minor increase in his fees to get a
20 greater return and get the income he was looking
21 for so he could continue to live.

22 So I don't want to get into the
23 evidence at this moment. We'll go through it as
24 we go through the hearing. But I think this

1 matter should be dismissed. I think the behavior
2 up to this time is really reprehensible. This is
3 a business dispute.

4 I'm an attorney. I'm allowed to
5 represent my client. Personal accusations,
6 pejorative comment aren't appreciated. We'll try
7 to put that to the side and proceed as
8 professionally as we can until we get through
9 this.

10 And thank you, Mr. Ordower, for
11 your attention.

12 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All
13 right. Thank you.

14 All right. Then Ms. Tepper, you
15 can present your witnesses. And keep in mind
16 you'll have two hours for your -- all of your
17 witnesses.

18 MS. TEPPER: Okay.

19 MR. TEPPER WEINER: You're not reading
20 this, you're presenting your --

21 MS. TEPPER: No, I'm going to present
22 my -- my case first and then my witnesses, is
23 that all right?

24 MR. TEPPER WEINER: No.

1 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Do you mean
2 you want to testify yourself or -- I'm not sure
3 what you're --

4 MS. TEPPER: I'm preparing my case and
5 then I was going to have my witnesses speak.

6 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. So you
7 want to make like an argument you mean and lay it
8 out or --

9 MS. TEPPER: Yes. Yes.

10 MR. MCGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, I have no
11 objection, knowing that Ms. Tepper is pro se, if
12 she would like to -- well, it is in the nature of
13 an opening statement. It is over. If she wants
14 to make whatever she -- if she is testifying as a
15 witness, I would suggest perhaps just swear her
16 in.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Yes. I think
18 that that's appropriate.

19 MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you.

20 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: So yeah.
21 Ms. Tepper, would you raise your right hand,
22 please.

23 (Witness sworn.)

24 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: You may

1 proceed then. Thank you.

2 MS. TEPPER: Okay. This case is about
3 increasing management fees on accounts owned by a
4 cognitively impaired senior who was my husband
5 and my soulmate for 60 years.

6 I'm grateful that FINRA has given
7 me as a claimant, and my son Jordan and my
8 daughter Nona as contingent beneficiaries, an
9 opportunity to speak on behalf of my husband who
10 died December 21st, 2023, a little more than a
11 year ago.

12 Lawre was brilliant. A Harvard
13 law attorney. The most excruciating part of
14 witnessing his decline was seeing this brilliant
15 man unable to understand the simplest concepts or
16 think clearly.

17 Lawre retired in 2018 with a
18 pension fund and two IRA accounts which were
19 converted into one portfolio.

20 Unfortunately, in 2018 when Lawre
21 signed onto Oppenheimer, he was battling
22 Parkinson's disease. Then in 2021 he was
23 diagnosed with stage 4 lung cancer.

24 His cognitive decline progressed

1 significantly during the final five years of his
2 life. (Unintelligible) coincided with the same
3 years Oppenheimer managed his account.

4 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Can we have
5 Mr. McGuire mute his mic, please.

6 MR. MCGUIRE: Sure. I'm sorry.

7 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Thank you.
8 Go ahead.

9 MS. TEPPER: (Unintelligible) Lawre
10 answering Oppenheimer's questions about his
11 experience with and knowledge of investments,
12 Lawre didn't have a clue.

13 It is common knowledge and the
14 field of neuroscience has proven that repetitive
15 tasks are controlled by one part of the brain,
16 while the frontal lobe, another part of the
17 brain, is responsible for higher brain functions.

18 Lawre could repeatedly log into
19 his account but he didn't understand anything
20 more than the market went up and the market went
21 down. He certainly didn't understand what the
22 percentage increase in management fees meant in
23 terms of dollars, especially a 56 percent
24 increase.

1 He couldn't drive. He couldn't
2 compute simple math. He couldn't determine what
3 meds to take. I had to prepare his meds three
4 times a day for him. His confusion was
5 heartbreaking.

6 Only one week after Lawre's
7 death, Jerry came to my home to ask that I
8 continue using his services to manage Lawre's
9 account. I told him that I would be transferring
10 the accounts to Fidelity where I manage my own
11 accounts.

12 After leaving my house, Jerry
13 immediately returned. And when I opened the
14 door, and with both Nona and Jordan present, he
15 announced, by the way, Lawre gave me a bonus.

16 Oppenheimer's own code of conduct
17 explicitly prohibits this practice. It states
18 that employees, quote, may not directly or
19 indirectly accept or receive bonuses from any
20 person or entity with which Oppenheimer does or
21 seeks to do business, end of quote.

22 This led us to thinking we better
23 examine Oppenheimer's account statements. We
24 discovered a fee increase started almost two

1 weeks before Lawre's signature on an
2 authorization letter.

3 This is documented in
4 Oppenheimer's complaint department in an email by
5 Patrick Wade, April 18th, 2024, in which he
6 specifies the management fees started January 1st
7 of 2021 when Lawre, although impaired, signed the
8 document almost two weeks later.

9 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Pause right now.

10 MS. TEPPER: Wait, wait, wait.

11 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Don't you want her
12 to show the exhibit?

13 MS. TEPPER: Yes. Yes. Yes. Please.

14 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Sorry.

15 MS. TEPPER: Nona -- if Nona -- if we
16 can share -- if Nona can get on the Zoom to share
17 her -- there. Nona will show you that letter by
18 Patrick Wade.

19 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Then yeah,
20 highlight the area where it started January 1st.

21 MS. TEPPER: So that -- so
22 January 1st.

23 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Scroll up right
24 there. Up and to your left, Nona. To the left

1 of the numbers.

2 No, down.

3 MS. TEPPER: Down.

4 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Right. Down a
5 little bit more. Right there. That clearly
6 shows right there management fees started
7 January 1st, 2021 retroactively and --

8 MS. TEPPER: Okay. I'll get to the
9 rest.

10 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Go ahead.

11 MS. TEPPER: Okay. So clearly the
12 fees went up before they were authorized to do so
13 because Lawre didn't sign -- sign it until
14 April 12th -- I mean, January 12th.

15 MR. TEPPER WEINER: We can show that
16 exhibit.

17 MS. TEPPER: That's all right. We
18 will get to that. We'll get to that.

19 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay.

20 MS. TEPPER: Thank you, Nona.

21 We took a closer look at all the
22 statements and uncovered a untroubling pattern of
23 exploitation.

24 Mr. McGuire asserted in his

1 amended statement of answer to this FINRA
2 arbitration that the fee increase started mid
3 '22; however we found starting in January '21,
4 2021, Lawre's management fees increased
5 dramatically from 1.25 to 1.95.

6 Then in 2022 and 2023, we saw
7 that some months the management fees increased
8 over 2 percent.

9 Let's look at Excel sheet --
10 Excel spreadsheet for each account. I took these
11 figures directly from each of Oppenheimer's
12 monthly statements. Nona computed the
13 percentages of the fee increase.

14 Nona, could you show us Account
15 Number 9969, which was Lawre's largest account.
16 Can you make it a little larger, honey? That's
17 all right. There we go. Now bring it up just a
18 bit, hun. Thanks, love.

19 Oh, wait. It is off my screen.
20 You got to bring it up a little bit. Keep going.
21 There you go. Right there. Okay.

22 This was Lawre's largest account.
23 And you can see in 2022 the percentage increase
24 was 2.01 percent, which was above even the 1.95

1 percent. Also the average -- in 2 -- '21 was
2 also over -- oh, wait.

3 Nona, this is the -- this is the
4 aggregate account, honey. This is the total
5 portfolio of all of his. It is not the
6 66 -- 9969. Yeah, that's the 9969 account.

7 So it was over. In 2022 it was
8 2.1 percent. It was over even the 1.95 percent.

9 Okay. And you'll notice that in
10 the year 2020 -- the management fees were
11 quarterly. And then the first quarter of 2021
12 they were quarterly. And then they went on to
13 monthly starting in April of 2021. So that's his
14 66 -- 9969 account.

15 And now if you can show him
16 the -- it is the account with his
17 (unintelligible).

18 6395 account (unintelligible) but
19 the second largest account. And you'll see in
20 2021 the fee was in excess of even the 1.95
21 percent that they said -- Oppenheimer said they
22 were going to raise.

23 And Nona can even tell you how
24 she -- she was the one who determined -- who

1 showed the percentages. And she'll tell you how
2 she got there if you're interested in that.

3 And then the final account was
4 9977.

5 Nona has an MBA from Wharton in
6 finance. And she'll tell you more about herself
7 when she testifies.

8 And this is the smallest of the
9 three accounts. And these were -- they were
10 commissions on this account until it was put into
11 the -- it was migrated into the other accounts
12 starting in September of 2021.

13 Thank you, Nona.

14 Mr. McGuire, in his amended
15 statement of answer dated April 9, 2025, states
16 on the -- Oppenheimer had no documentation on
17 file pertaining to Mr. Weiner's alleged cognitive
18 decline. How can that be? Jerry sent me a power
19 of attorney upon my request because of Lawre's
20 cognitive decline, which I signed and sent back
21 to him because Lawre was non compos mentis.

22 In the same document, Mr. McGuire
23 states, finally one month before Mr. Weiner
24 passed, Mr. Hymen offered to meet with Mr. Weiner

1 and Ms. Tepper to review the portfolio. That
2 offer was refused. Of course it was refused.
3 Lawre was dying.

4 I can't tell you the emotional
5 impact that has on me today to read that
6 statement.

7 Jerry had my power of attorney
8 knowing Lawre wasn't functional. He couldn't go
9 over a review of his account. How could Jerry be
10 that insensitive to think we could meet with him
11 under the circumstances? And how could
12 Mr. McGuire -- Mr. McGuire use that to
13 substantiate a claim that Oppenheimer at all
14 times believed it had Mr. Weiner's approval and
15 consent? Lawre couldn't consent to anything.

16 Jerry was supposed to be managing
17 Lawre's three accounts. He harmed Lawre's
18 portfolio in all three accounts by having Lawre
19 pay more management fees than was initially
20 agreed upon the 1.25 percent.

21 Lawre did sign a document stating
22 Oppenheimer could increase the management fee
23 from 1.25 to 1.95, but that was when Lawre was
24 exhibiting significant cognitive decline and had

1 not the slightest notion of what that meant. If
2 you asked him to sign something, he would have
3 lied and signed.

4 Lawre paid \$29,115 in wrongful
5 fees in the largest account. Lawre paid \$6,958
6 in wrongful fees in the second largest account.
7 And finally Lawre paid \$1,992 in wrongful fees in
8 the smallest account.

9 One minute.

10 Jerry Hymen implemented these
11 increases when Lawre was 80 years old, which
12 Jerry certainly knew. A textbook case of
13 financial exploitation of a senior under
14 Oppenheimer's code of conduct, FINRA rules, SEC
15 rules and regulations, and Illinois State law.

16 Mr. McGuire stated I was present
17 with Lawre when Mr. Hymen discussed a management
18 rate increase. That never happened. There is no
19 mention of any meeting regarding any rate
20 increase in any of the OPCO exhibits that
21 validates that Mr. Hymen had any meeting with me
22 and/or informed me of anything about any rate
23 increase.

24 First, we filed a formal

1 complaint with Oppenheimer's compliance
2 department. They dismissed our claim stating
3 they would not take corrective action because of
4 portfolio growth.

5 When management fees are based on
6 percentage, on a percentage of the portfolio,
7 Oppenheimer already benefits when the portfolio
8 increases.

9 More importantly, because the
10 market goes up increasing the portfolio's worth,
11 it is not a legitimate reason to take more of a
12 senior's money.

13 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Mrs. Tepper --
14 Ms. Tepper, I -- I may occasionally ask questions
15 of you or any other witness. And I do have a
16 question.

17 MS. TEPPER: Yes.

18 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: When did you
19 personally perceive that Lawre was not in a -- in
20 a position to make a sound financial
21 positions -- decisions for himself?

22 MS. TEPPER: It was actually 2018. We
23 were on a round-the-world trip, and at one point
24 he was completely out of his mind. And my

1 daughter's husband is a doctor, and I was on an
2 email account and I -- I -- her husband suggested
3 we take him to the ship's doctor.

4 I noticed that he was dragging
5 his feet. We didn't know he had Parkinson's at
6 that time. He was dragging his feet, which
7 showed me that -- and I kept saying, honey, pick
8 up your feet, you're dragging your feet.

9 After he was diagnosed with
10 Parkinson's, that was one of the symptoms. We
11 found out then.

12 He came to the dinner table at
13 one time with friends and his shirt was buttoned
14 wrong. It wasn't buttoned correctly. I had to
15 go over and rebutton his shirt. There were just
16 things all along the way.

17 I had to -- I had to start -- he
18 couldn't do his meds anymore. I had to prepare
19 his meds for him, which you'll hear in my
20 testimony. There were things all along the way
21 that I had to do to help him.

22 In 2017 --

23 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I appreciate
24 that. I'm sorry. I -- I do have some questions

1 that I want to get clear in my head.

2 MS. TEPPER: Yeah.

3 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: So -- and at
4 some point after that you -- you executed or he
5 executed a power of attorney giving you or giving
6 someone else the power to make --

7 MS. TEPPER: Me.

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And
9 when was that?

10 MS. TEPPER: That wasn't until 2023
11 because I felt that Oppenheimer was taking good
12 care of him. I had no idea that at that point
13 the rates had increased or that Jerry had asked
14 for a bonus. So I trusted Oppenheimer.

15 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Well, my
16 question really is not so much about Oppenheimer
17 at this point but about your own -- it
18 sounds -- I mean, there is a five-year period,
19 obviously, between 2018 and 2023.

20 MS. TEPPER: Yes.

21 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And during
22 that time you -- it sounds like you were in
23 agreement that Lawre could look out for himself
24 financially.

1 MS. TEPPER: No. No.

2 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: You didn't?

3 MS. TEPPER: First of all, I was busy
4 taking care of him, that I felt Oppenheimer was
5 taking care of him. As a fiduciary I felt their
6 responsibility was to take care of Lawre. And
7 I -- at that point I had to let them do it
8 because I had to take care of Lawre.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And
10 were you also looking at -- were you looking at
11 account statements and things as well as whatever
12 Lawre may have been doing?

13 MS. TEPPER: Oh, not so much. Not --
14 once -- once in a while I would talk to Jerry
15 about -- because I managed all my own accounts.
16 And so once in a while I would talk to Jerry
17 about the accounts. But it wasn't a daily thing.
18 I had too much on my mind.

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. So, I
20 mean, it sounds like, obviously, that there was a
21 lot of money that was in Lawrence's control. And
22 that -- but for that five-year period from the
23 time that you noticed him substantially declining
24 until 2023, legally you -- neither you nor he did

1 do anything to move control of that money from
2 him to anyone else?

3 MS. TEPPER: That's right.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. I just
5 want to get that cheer. Please continue with
6 your statements.

7 MS. TEPPER: I -- I mentioned -- let
8 me see -- that we started -- we filed a formal
9 complaint with Oppenheimer's complaint
10 department, and they dismissed the claim. Okay.
11 I told you that.

12 Second, in an effort to resolve
13 the issue and avoid arbitration, we sent
14 Oppenheimer a letter detailing Lawre's cognitive
15 decline written by Nick Gorsline, Lawre's long-
16 time physical therapist.

17 Oppenheimer dismissed this
18 letter. Oppenheimer's code of ethics emphasizes
19 that the client's interest should always come
20 first and that supervising a client's account
21 requires honesty and integrity. Ignoring a
22 letter that clearly lays out Lawre, as a senior
23 with cognitive issues, lacks the integrity
24 necessary to act in his best interests.

1 This forced us into an
2 arbitration in order to be heard. Jerry and
3 Oppenheimer should not be rewarded for
4 continuously overcharging a senior.

5 Offenses kept going on. During
6 these proceedings, Oppenheimer's counsel,
7 Mr. McGuire, claimed it occurred in mid 2022,
8 when we show the increase occurred in January of
9 2021, as you saw in the Excel spreadsheets.

10 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I'm sorry, I
11 have a -- I guess a follow-up question to what I
12 asked before.

13 MS. TEPPER: Sure.

14 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Which is
15 during that time from -- up until 2023 when
16 Lawrence executed the power of attorney giving
17 you --

18 MS. TEPPER: Yes.

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- financial
20 control, did you personally ever notify anyone at
21 Oppenheimer that you believed that your husband
22 was not competent to handle his finances?

23 MS. TEPPER: Yes. I was talking to
24 Jerry repeatedly that Lawre was having problems.

1 Absolutely I did.

2 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

3 MS. TEPPER: And Jerry will remember
4 that.

5 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And can you
6 give me an idea of like when those conversations
7 started?

8 MS. TEPPER: Those conversations were
9 probably in 2023. They weren't before that.
10 They were around 2023.

11 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Tell him you
12 didn't think you had to worry about it. He was
13 80 years old. He was protected by this Illinois
14 State law.

15 MS. TEPPER: Yeah. He was a senior,
16 80 years old, which is -- you take into
17 consideration when you're managing an 80-year-
18 old's account.

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. So you
20 contacted him about the same time as when
21 Lawrence executed the power of attorney?

22 MS. TEPPER: Or that I kept saying to
23 Jerry, I'm not sure he's -- he's got problems
24 now. I was telling him he had problems.

1 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And did
2 Jerry respond --

3 MS. TEPPER: But I wasn't ready -- I
4 wasn't ready because, again, I thought
5 Oppenheimer was taking care of him. So until
6 Lawre was absolutely on -- you know, what can I
7 say?

8 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Dying.

9 MS. TEPPER: Yeah, until he was dying,
10 right.

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And in those
12 months in 2023 when you started to talk to Jerry
13 about this, did he respond at all to you? Did
14 Jerry respond about your concerns?

15 MS. TEPPER: I don't think so. I
16 don't remember him responding about any concerns.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. But
18 when you talked to him, did you -- was it on the
19 phone or --

20 MS. TEPPER: Yes, it was on the phone.
21 Always on the phone.

22 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And you
23 don't remember anything that he said in response
24 to this?

1 MS. TEPPER: Not until I asked him for
2 my -- for the power of attorney.

3 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
4 right. Then go ahead and -- I'm sorry to keep
5 interrupting you, but I didn't --

6 MS. TEPPER: The account was never
7 (unintelligible).

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- these
9 questions that arise.

10 MS. TEPPER: All right.

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
12 right. So go ahead, please.

13 MS. TEPPER: To justify the
14 unwarranted management fees, Mr. McGuire stated
15 that the reason for the increase was that -- and
16 this is a quote -- it necessitated by the
17 extensive additional account management
18 activities required of Mr. Hymen in order to meet
19 Mr. Weiner's annual IRA required distribution
20 amount.

21 All Jerry needed to do -- end of
22 quote. All Jerry needed to do if there was
23 insufficient cash in the account was to sell a
24 stock and transfer funds to Lawre's bank account

1 to meet the IRA requirement. That administrative
2 task would be included in any
3 fiduciary's responsibilities.

4 As a layperson, I manage my own
5 IRA account. I am familiar with IRA
6 distributions, and I know they do not require any
7 special time or effort.

8 Besides justifying the escalation
9 of fees because of annual IRA distributions,
10 Mr. McGuire, in a prehearing conference, offered
11 a new reason. The rate increase was due to
12 additional bond research services.

13 One second.

14 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And also let
15 me just say to everybody, that if you need to
16 take a break at some point, because this will be
17 going on for several hours, just let me know and
18 we'll take a break.

19 MS. TEPPER: This means Jerry need
20 only contact Oppenheimer's bond department for
21 suggestions which, again, would already be
22 covered under standard management fees.

23 These explanations were notably
24 absent from Oppenheimer's initial formal response

1 to our complaint.

2 FINRA rules, industry standards,
3 and Illinois State laws are clear, a broker
4 cannot exploit a senior citizen regardless of
5 obtaining a signature. The Securities Exchange
6 Act imposes a fiduciary duty that requires
7 putting the client's interests first. The legal
8 definition of fiduciary is a person to whom
9 property or power is entrusted for the benefit of
10 another.

11 The increase in Lawre's
12 management fees exploited a senior, violating the
13 code principles of the fiduciary relationship and
14 FINRA rules.

15 And Nona, here I was going to
16 show -- for Oppenheimer's breach, this is what
17 we're requesting. Nona put together a table.

18 \$50,000 to include the wrongful
19 fees. The primary award under simplified
20 arbitration cap.

21 \$49,350 for the administrative
22 fees.

23 \$9,000 expert witness fees.

24 \$7,088 for commissions.

1 And \$7,000 interest on the
2 wrongful fees.

3 A total of \$122,438 total.

4 Thank you, Nona.

5 MR. TEPPER WEINER: No. In
6 addition -- wait.

7 MS. TEPPER: Wait. In addition, all
8 FINRA fees -- that's right -- should be paid by
9 the respondents since they forced us into an
10 arbitration to collect what was unlawfully;
11 taken.

12 In addition, we repeatedly tried
13 to resolve the issue directly with them without
14 the necessity of an arbitration.

15 Thanks, Nona.

16 All FINRA -- Mr. Ordower, please
17 administer justice for the intentional wrongdoing
18 of increasing the fees of a non compos mentis
19 senior and to deter such unscrupulous conduct in
20 the future.

21 Our findings necessitated this
22 arbitration because of Jerry and Oppenheimer's
23 following malfeasance. Increasing the management
24 fees when Lawre was 80 years old. Not adhering

1 to what's in the best interests of the client
2 after understanding that Lawre had cognitive
3 decline. Accepting a bonus. Dismissing
4 Nick's expert witness letter without
5 investigation. A failure to supervise. Forcing
6 us into an arbitration in order to rectify
7 wrongful fees instead of just apologizing and
8 refunding us those fees. Starting the fee
9 increase before authorized to do so. Insisting
10 additional services of research bonds was the
11 reason for the fee increase when such service is
12 already a part of a fiduciary's duty. And
13 finally, insisting additional services were
14 required in order to withdraw money for Lawre's
15 IRA requirements when, again, any fiduciary would
16 do that as part of their responsibilities.

17 Mr. McGuire said Oppenheimer has
18 the right to raise management fees, but they
19 don't have the right to raise fees on a senior,
20 let alone a cognitively impaired one.

21 Please, your Honor, send
22 Oppenheimer a message that such conduct against a
23 senior with dementia will not be tolerated now or
24 in the future.

1 Thanks for your attention.

2 In addition to Nona's testimony,
3 we have two qualified experts to attest to
4 Lawre's decline. We want to release the expert
5 witnesses as they have professional obligations
6 to patients in their care. So they will share
7 their testimony first, and then Nona will
8 present.

9 First you'll hear from Dr. Desai,
10 Lawre's doctor, whose extensive credentials have
11 been provided. Dr. Desai will present her
12 medical assessment of Lawre's progressively
13 diminished capacity during precisely the period
14 when these wrongful financial decisions were
15 made.

16 Dr. Desai.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Before we take
18 her, I have another question or two for you.

19 During the years between 2018 and
20 2022, let's say, did Lawrence handle any other
21 financial matters of the family, like reviewing
22 tax returns and --

23 MS. TEPPER: He would sign his tax
24 returns. We sent all the information to our --

1 our accountant sends me a sheet, and we go
2 through -- I go through and prepare all the
3 documents. And then he says -- he puts a mark
4 where to sign because it is all done digitally
5 and -- except for the paper that we have to sign.
6 And Lawre signs a paper, and then I fax it over
7 to our accountant. So he would sign.

8 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Tell him that you
9 did handle all the --

10 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I assume --

11 MS. TEPPER: Yeah, I did handle all
12 the finances of the family. Lawre didn't do
13 that.

14 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And I
15 assume he was no longer practicing law at this
16 point.

17 MS. TEPPER: He retired in 2018.

18 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Great.
19 Thank you.

20 And then so I guess you wish to
21 call --

22 MS. TEPPER: Dr. Desai.

23 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- Dr. Desai
24 now.

1 All right. Dr. Desai, first you
2 should unmute yourself, please.

3 DR. DESAI: Absolutely. Thank you.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Would you
5 raise your right hand.

6 (Witness sworn.)

7 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.
8 You can question her, Ms. Tepper.

9 DR. DESAI: Okay.

10 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Weiner.

11 DR. DESAI: All right. So I'll go
12 ahead and make the -- I wrote a statement, so
13 I'll read off my statement. And let me know if
14 you have any questions.

15 Lawrence Weiner passed at 82
16 years old with lung cancer, hypertension,
17 hyperlipidemia, coronary artery disease,
18 nonprostatic hypertrophy, sarcoidosis, Barrett's
19 esophagus, and Parkinson's disease.

20 I was his primary care doctor
21 since July 26, 2010. When he was 69 years old,
22 he started seeing me for primary care. I was his
23 primary care doctor until 2023 when he succumbed
24 to lung cancer.

1 I could speak to many of
2 Mr. Weiner's health issues given that I was the
3 longitudinal care physician and saw him through
4 many different illnesses.

5 I will particularly focus on the
6 question of Mr. Weiner's cognitive status.

7 The initial signs of his
8 cognitive status, of his cognitive -- the initial
9 signs of his cognitive decline was noted in a
10 July 12, 2017 visit at which time he reported an
11 incident driving in his neighborhood earlier that
12 year and increased forgetfulness.

13 At this time he was referred to a
14 neuropsychologist for testing. He scored a 26
15 out of 30 on his mini mental status exam with
16 Dr. Nancy Kennedy on September 26, 2027.

17 On page 2 her report, she states
18 Mr. Weiner obtained a score of 26 out of 30 on
19 the MMSC, mini mental status exam, which is
20 within the mildly impaired range for his age and
21 education.

22 He lost one point for an error in
23 spelling the word "world" backwards. One point
24 for failure to recall one out of three words

1 after a brief delay. And two points for errors
2 in carrying out a three-step command.

3 He was advised to follow up with
4 a neurologist for ongoing evaluation and
5 treatment. We agreed that he would go back for
6 periodic neuropsych testing to understand the
7 rate of any decline.

8 He saw the neurologist, Dr. Allan
9 Burke, and was subsequently diagnosed. Also
10 diagnosed with Parkinson's disease. Then, as you
11 know, much of the world, including the medical
12 world, came to a standstill in 2020.

13 Nonetheless, Mr. Weiner tried his
14 best to combat the symptoms he felt from his
15 medical conditions and likely his
16 neurodegenerative diseases, including the
17 Parkinson's and mild cognitive impairment.

18 We tried changing medications,
19 treating various health conditions, like urinary
20 tract infections, a serious skin rash called
21 bullous pemphigoid, prostate disease. But his
22 decline continued.

23 He was told to get a CT scan
24 August 28, 2020 for -- and that's one of my

1 visits -- for the end of October to follow a
2 nodule in his lung.

3 He had to be reminded in
4 November. November 17, 2020 I actually had to
5 speak to his wife Terri so that the lung CT could
6 get done as it had not been done yet.

7 He was also undergoing a prostate
8 biopsy at the same time. It seemed he could not
9 organize himself. This is something I had not
10 had to do prior for his healthcare. And he had
11 multiple complex health situations prior to this.
12 And specifically I'm referring to calling his
13 family members, like Terri.

14 On 12/1/2020 I had left a message
15 for Mr. Weiner regarding the lung and asking him
16 to see a lung specialist. He had obtained the
17 scan by then.

18 He calls back on December 16,
19 2020 asking what his next treatment plan is.

20 On 12/17/2020 my assistant called
21 him back and also spoke to his wife to make sure
22 that, again, he has follow-up with the lung
23 specialist.

24 After this time, as of all of

1 2020, his wife Terri was with him at all his
2 appointments making sure he understood the next
3 plan as he was not able to manage himself.

4 As of October 6, 2021, according
5 to a neurology note, he was no longer driving,
6 which evidently had even -- he had stopped
7 driving even prior to that after that accident in
8 2017.

9 As of February 1st, 2023 his
10 cognition was so significantly impaired that he
11 scored a 12 out of 28 on that same memory test or
12 similar memory test, which is considered
13 significantly impaired. Or severely impaired.

14 The decline was gradual. And it
15 was very difficult to understand what
16 deficiencies were present at what times.

17 I have presented a very high-
18 level medical summary based on my records and
19 consultations over the years for Mr. Weiner. I
20 noticed a decline and there is a documented
21 decline that Mr. Weiner's -- that even
22 Mr. Weiner's strong drive for independence,
23 kindness, and charisma couldn't cover the
24 deficits in his functioning from 2017 onward.

1 A clinician need only ask, does
2 Lawre seem different? And from 2017 onward, the
3 answer would have been yes.

4 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Thank you.

5 DR. DESAI: I hope that makes sense.

6 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Your Honor, I
7 would like to let Dr. Desai get back to patient
8 care and whatever else she is doing.

9 Can you give us your phone number
10 one more time that I am going to write down right
11 here? And then we can -- can we call you back if
12 needed during these proceedings?

13 DR. DESAI: Sure. Although I think
14 Mr. Ordower might have had questions.

15 But my cell phone is
16 312-342-6674.

17 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Sorry. My mom had
18 it and then we couldn't find it.

19 DR. DESAI: Oh, yeah. No worries.

20 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay. So get on
21 with your day. Thank you so much.

22 Oh, sorry. What?

23 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I guess I do
24 have one or two questions maybe.

1 DR. DESAI: Absolutely. I don't know
2 if it is -- if you ever think it is part of your
3 job to recommend to families that people -- like
4 if someone shifts their financial affairs to
5 someone who is more competent.

6 First -- my first question is, do
7 you make that kind of recommendation; and
8 secondly, did you make that -- if you ever do,
9 did you do -- do or consider anything like that
10 in this case?

11 DR. DESAI: That is a wonderful
12 question. And I might need to ask you to repeat
13 both questions because I'll take it in two parts.

14 So the first question was do I
15 have recommend- -- uh-huh.

16 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Yeah. Do you
17 ever recommend that -- to families of people who
18 are mentally impaired that they make other
19 arrangements for looking -- to make sure that
20 someone is not doing something that is harmful to
21 themselves financially or, you know, rationally?

22 DR. DESAI: Understood. I generally
23 don't make recommendations about financial sort
24 of responsibilities. However, it does get

1 included in an overall assessment of
2 somebody's activities of daily living.

3 And so when somebody has, you
4 know, an abnormal neuropsych testing, I kind of
5 think about it -- and because I'm his primary
6 care doctor and I'm an internist, general
7 internist by training, I don't have any one
8 specific expertise. But I do notice things. And
9 I will bring up things like, okay, well, you
10 know, Lawre, why don't we bring Terri in on this
11 or a family member in on this medical decision.

12 And specifically, obviously, I am
13 focused on the medical care which was becoming so
14 complex and so I might -- I generally don't think
15 so much about, well, you should arrange for
16 somebody else to do your finances.

17 Also that's a very difficult
18 assessment to make for an internist. I think
19 generally a neurologist or a psychiatrist is
20 generally involved there or a neuropsychologist.

21 And I can imagine that if there
22 wasn't a prostate cancer biopsy situation and a
23 lung cancer situation, and if we were only
24 dealing with neurological disease, that that

1 would have been the next step is to do some sort
2 of official neuropsych testing again. So that
3 was the long answer.

4 So the short answer is no, I
5 generally don't think about finances. But I do
6 think about the others.

7 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: So you're more
8 concerned with keeping him alive and healthy and
9 that kind of stuff, rather than his
10 administrative --

11 DR. DESAI: Correct. Correct.

12 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

13 DR. DESAI: And then the second part
14 of your question was?

15 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Whether you
16 had made any actual recommendation.

17 DR. DESAI: Recommendations. So to
18 Terri, I didn't make any specific recommendation
19 about his financial concerns. But I was pretty,
20 I guess, insistent to talk to her about getting
21 the scans done.

22 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

23 DR. DESAI: Yeah.

24 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.

1 Good. That's all the questions I have.

2 If Ms. Tepper or Mr. Weiner have
3 any other questions or --

4 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I just want to
5 thank you for your testimony and your time away
6 from patient care.

7 I want to let you know that, for
8 the record, I did submit your written testimony,
9 and I believe that that was totally sufficient.
10 And for to take you -- to pull you away from
11 your -- everything, for to present what was
12 evidently clear, I think -- I just want to truly
13 thank you for that.

14 I just have one last thing. Can
15 you verify that his -- my father's neurologist,
16 that he retired? We would have had the
17 neurologist here and done this, but he retired
18 and he's gone.

19 And, I mean, I could have hired a
20 private detective and tried to track him down,
21 but the reason the neurologist isn't testifying
22 is he's gone.

23 DR. DESAI: I wasn't -- I wasn't
24 specifically aware that Dr. Burke had retired,

1 but I knew that he was very close to retiring.

2 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Yeah.

3 DR. DESAI: Even as of 2020. So --

4 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay. Thank you
5 again so much. We'll call you if we need you,
6 but feel free to get on with your day. And if we
7 do need you, we'll give you like -- how much time
8 would you need? Like a half an hour to log on or
9 20 minutes or something?

10 DR. DESAI: Yeah. Or 15 minutes.
11 That would be fine.

12 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay. I'll call
13 you 15 minutes ahead. Assume that we don't need
14 you anymore and that you did a great job and
15 you're truly appreciated. Thanks for --

16 (Simultaneous speakers.)

17 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Thank you,
18 Dr. Desai.

19 DR. DESAI: Thank you for your work.
20 I appreciate everybody.

21 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

22 MS. TEPPER: And now Nick Gorsline,
23 Lawre's physical therapist, with outstanding
24 credentials in working with Parkinson's patients.

1 He worked with Lawre for years and saw his
2 increasingly -- increasing cognitive decline.

3 And I'll move over so that Nick can
4 take that place.

5 MR. GORSLINE: Thank you.

6 Good morning, everyone.

7 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Good morning.
8 Would you raise your right hand, please.

9 (Witness sworn.)

10 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Thank you.

11 MR. GORSLINE: Good morning, everyone.
12 Good morning, Mr. Ordower.

13 I want to just make a
14 clarification. So I'm not a physical therapist;
15 I'm a personal trainer. So I do have a "PT"
16 after my name, but it stands for personal
17 trainer.

18 But real quick, I just want to
19 just read off a statement that I have prepared.
20 Just want to say thank you for the opportunity to
21 testify today.

22 A brief summary of who I am and
23 my credentials are. My name is Nick Gorsline.
24 I'm a certified personal trainer specializing in

1 Parkinson's disease. I went to the school of
2 NPTI of Chicago, as well as the International
3 Sports Sciences Association.

4 I hold a Rock Steady Boxing
5 certification specializing in Parkinson's
6 disease. And have over 11 years of specialized
7 experience working primarily with Parkinson's
8 disease clients.

9 I currently serve as a personal
10 trainer and group fitness instructor at the not
11 for profit NeuroBalance Center in Barrington,
12 Illinois.

13 I'm prepared to provide the
14 following detailed testimony regarding my
15 observation -- observations of Mr. Weiner's
16 cognitive and physical decline supported by
17 contemporaneous documentation and professional
18 assessment records.

19 From my previous written -- my
20 previous written testimony, I served as
21 Mr. Lawrence J. Weiner's personal trainer and
22 group fitness instructor from January 2019 until
23 December 2023.

24 During this period, I personally

1 conducted and documented over 400 individual
2 sessions with Mr. Weiner, which placed me in a
3 unique position to witness the progression of his
4 condition.

5 Based on my extensive
6 documentation and direct observations, I can
7 confirm that Mr. Weiner experienced progressive
8 decline in both his physical capabilities and
9 cognitive function over the time I worked with
10 him.

11 Beginning in 2020, I noted
12 significant progression in both motor skills and
13 cognitive symptoms. I observed marked changes in
14 his ability to follow complex instructions and
15 increasing need for simplified communication and
16 repeated directions and a notable decline in his
17 ability to maintain focus and complete sequential
18 tasks.

19 Beginning in 2020 -- or sorry --
20 just following along here. As Mr. Weiner's
21 dedicated Parkinson's therapy trainer, I
22 consistently challenged him to reach his physical
23 potential while maintaining a vigilant awareness
24 of his evolving capabilities. My professional

1 responsibility was to push him appropriately to
2 maximize his physical and mental well-being and
3 longevity while ensuring his safety remained
4 paramount.

5 Through hundreds of documented
6 training sessions spanning the years I worked
7 with him, I established a unique vantage point to
8 systematically observe Mr. Weiner's cognitive and
9 physical functioning.

10 My training sessions created
11 controlled environments where I could
12 consistently evaluate his ability to comprehend
13 and follow multi-step exercise instructions,
14 retain information between sessions, maintain
15 appropriate spatial awareness during movement,
16 make sound judgments about his physical
17 limitations, process and respond to verbal cues
18 in realtime.

19 These regular interactions
20 allowed me to detect subtle changes that might
21 not be apparent in brief occasional encounters.
22 I maintained detailed session notes, documented
23 observed changes and response time to verbal and
24 visual instructions, ability to recall previously

1 learned exercise patterns, decision-making
2 regarding appropriate weights and resistance,
3 spatial awareness during balance and coordination
4 exercises, and consistency and followed --
5 following established routines.

6 As a Parkinson's fitness
7 professional with specialized knowledge of
8 physical and cognitive integration, I was
9 positioned to recognize when Mr. Weiner's
10 limitations were changing. This enabled me to
11 adjust my training protocols accordingly,
12 maintaining his safety while still providing the
13 beneficial physical challenges critical to
14 prolonging his quality of life.

15 My professional observations,
16 documented consistently over the years, provided
17 longitudinal perspective on progressive changes
18 and Mr. Weiner's functional abilities that
19 complement medical assessments and family
20 observations.

21 My extensive experience with
22 Parkinson's patients, combined with my
23 professional certifications and my multiple times
24 per week interaction with Mr. Weiner's --

1 Mr. Weiner provides me with the expertise to
2 assess and testify about the progression of his
3 condition.

4 Few individuals outside his
5 immediate family had such consistent long-term
6 exposure to observe and document his decline. He
7 relied on and trusted my professional instruction
8 without question, which I used to help him to the
9 best of my abilities.

10 The cognitive challenges I
11 observed in Mr. Weiner starting in 2020 was
12 evident as he continued to participate in
13 physical -- in personal therapy sessions.

14 While he could perform some
15 routine physical movements that had become
16 habitual through repetition that he had
17 previously mastered, his ability to learn new
18 exercise patterns, follow multi-step
19 instructions, or adapt to changes became
20 increasingly compromised.

21 This demonstrates how he could
22 maintain certain practiced cognitive activities,
23 like accessing familiar applications on his
24 phone, while still experiencing significant

1 impairment in higher cognitive functions, like
2 complex decision-making, information processing,
3 and adapting to unfamiliar situations.

4 I never saw him drive to a
5 single one of my Parkinson's therapy sessions.
6 He was always driven and picked up mostly by
7 Jordan and Terri, and sometimes our volunteer
8 NeuroBalance shuttle. In my professional
9 opinion, Mr. Weiner was completely unable to
10 operate a motor vehicle.

11 Mr. Weiner was a joy to work
12 with. He was a wonderful man. And his decline
13 from Parkinson's was so sad to see.

14 And I hope this helps.

15 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Thank you.

16 MR. TEPPER WEINER: To make a few
17 comments at this point. Your Honor, this man is
18 an angel on the planet. He prolonged my father's
19 life. And every time I went in there, I thanked
20 him. And all the Parkinson's patients, they
21 would all look up and say, yes, he is helping so
22 much. I made sure that -- Nick, did I ask you to
23 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
24 the truth explicitly before this?

1 MR. GORSLINE: Yes, that's correct.

2 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I just want to --

3 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. I
4 actually have a couple of questions, and it
5 is -- it may be slightly unexpected or whatever.

6 Did you -- who paid for your
7 services? Was it, like, billed to an insurance
8 company or did he -- did Lawrence pay for it
9 personally or how did that -- how were you
10 compensated?

11 MR. GORSLINE: Yes, Mr. Ordower. So
12 all services were paid out of pocket.

13 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And
14 during the four years, did you raise your fees?

15 MR. GORSLINE: We did not.

16 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Did you
17 ever discuss any financial matters with him?

18 MR. GORSLINE: No.

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And did -- in
20 your role as a personal trainer, I mean, other
21 than the ability to -- I mean, I assume that
22 that's mainly physical exercising and balancing
23 work and physical stuff that -- as opposed to
24 mental exercise. Is that fair to say?

1 MR. GORSLINE: So at the NeuroBalance
2 Center we specialize with the brain. And yes, we
3 do do the body as well, but we specialize in
4 multiple neurodegenerative diseases, such as
5 Parkinson's, multiple sclerosis, traumatic brain
6 injuries, strokes, cerebral palsy. These are all
7 serious conditions that can have a profound
8 effect on their mental state.

9 And so what we do is a lot of
10 times we intertwine mental exercises along with
11 physical exercises. So yes, the physical
12 component is there, but we also do the mental
13 component as well.

14 One of the certifications that I
15 hold, the Rock Steady Boxing certification in
16 Indianapolis, Indiana, we primarily focus on
17 working with brain exercises to slow down the
18 progression of Parkinson's.

19 So we would do, you know, a
20 specific, you know, math exercises, with
21 particular punches. We would also do dual task
22 exercises. So you would have to maybe count
23 backwards from 50 by threes while sitting to
24 standing. And a lot of times Mr. Weiner could

1 not do that. He would count upwards or he would
2 count random sporadic numbers.

3 Just for instance another -- just
4 kind of another example, there was a time when we
5 were having a board meeting at the center, and
6 there was a sign that clearly said, you know,
7 board meeting in progress, please do not enter.
8 He willingly entered the board meeting constantly
9 shouting at times when it was inappropriate to do
10 so.

11 There was multiple times where I
12 had to redirect him on specific exercises to go
13 back to specific stations. And sometimes he
14 would walk to the other room by himself.

15 There were many times he would
16 call people by other names when, you know,
17 sometimes he would know their name and there are
18 many times he did not. So --

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I assume --
20 didn't really have any occasion to talk to anyone
21 outside of his family about his condition, right?
22 Or maybe administrators of your place.

23 MR. GORSLINE: There were -- so in
24 Barrington, it is a very tight -- tight-knit

1 community. It -- so there is a lot of other
2 people that were not family at the center that
3 were friends of Lawre's, and they would have
4 their specific, you know, encounters with him as
5 well and -- and everyone that was at the center
6 during the classes and whatnot could see the
7 cognitive decline.

8 There were plenty of times in
9 the classes that he participated in, we would
10 have over 10 to 12 different people in that room.

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
12 right. I don't have any other questions.

13 Mr. Weiner or Ms. Tepper.

14 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I would just like
15 to state for the record, again, that I submitted
16 twice, an initial letter to Oppenheimer's
17 complaint department and a formal expert witness
18 letter detailing all this that was evidently
19 clear and that I -- again, did you have to take
20 the day off of work?

21 MR. GORSLINE: Yes.

22 MR. TEPPER WEINER: How did that make
23 you feel not being around your -- mother, please
24 let me finish, please. Thank you.

1 How did that make you feel not
2 being with your Parkinson's patients? Did you
3 have remorse that you couldn't be with your
4 current patients today?

5 MR. GORSLINE: I am disappointed just
6 because on a regular basis I serve anywhere from
7 20 to 40 different men and women with
8 Parkinson's, multiple sclerosis. You know, a lot
9 of neurocognitive diseases that direly need my
10 help as a daily service. Not just for their
11 physical capabilities, but for their emotional --

12 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Right.

13 MR. GORSLINE: -- capabilities and
14 mental capabilities.

15 MR. TEPPER WEINER: So for the record,
16 I had -- I felt that it was totally unnecessary
17 for his live testimony and -- but -- and I
18 appreciate you to the highest degree. You're an
19 angel.

20 This man is an angel on the
21 planet, your Honor.

22 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
23 right. Well, thank you for your testimony, and
24 you are excused, unless Ms. Tepper has anything

1 further for you.

2 MR. GORSLINE: Okay. Thank you,
3 Mr. Ordower. Thank you for your time, everyone.

4 MS. TEPPER: Thank you.

5 MR. GORSLINE: You're welcome. Thank
6 you.

7 MS. TEPPER: Now --

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

9 MS. TEPPER: Now Nona will present, my
10 daughter.

11 MS. ULLMAN: Thank you, mom.

12 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

13 MS. ULLMAN: I'm Lawrence
14 Weiner's daughter.

15 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Sorry. Could you
16 raise your hand, please.

17 (Witness sworn.)

18 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: You may proceed.

19 MS. ULLMAN: I am Lawrence Weiner's
20 daughter. And I have lived in Chappaqua, New
21 York since 2005.

22 A little bit about my background.
23 I'm a former managing director at the
24 accounting/consulting firm KPMG Consulting where

1 we helped our clients adhere to the highest
2 standards of management and ethics.

3 I have an MBA in finance from
4 the Wharton School at the University of
5 Pennsylvania.

6 I currently have my own
7 consulting firm where I build the management
8 capacity of school districts and state education
9 agencies throughout the United States.

10 During COVID I wasn't able to
11 travel, so I didn't get back to Chicago much
12 between March 2020 and throughout 2021. However,
13 during COVID -- COVID because my family was
14 afraid of going back to the gym, we started
15 regular virtual workouts a few days a week, which
16 I led. And it was a great way to see my dad. We
17 recorded the videos so the family could replay
18 them to get a workout whenever I couldn't lead
19 them.

20 Dad wanted to be with us and
21 enjoyed the workouts, but as Nick had mentioned,
22 he had trouble following simple directions for
23 the exercises.

24 I'd love to show you a

1 one-minute video, if I am allowed, to show you an
2 example. You would hear me say the date of
3 February 2nd, 2021 at the beginning of the video,
4 which was just two weeks after my dad signed the
5 document to increase the Oppenheimer fees. So
6 you could see kind of the extent of his
7 impairment per Nick's testimony.

8 MR. MCGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, I'm going
9 to object to the introduction of the video. It
10 is a selected video out of what sound to be a
11 number of videos. It wasn't produced in
12 discovery, and it is inappropriate to do it at
13 this time given the fact that it wasn't produced.
14 And it is a selection, presumably, because it
15 benefits claimant rather than showing every
16 video, so I'm going to object.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Mr. Weiner or
18 Ms. Tepper, can you confirm whether or not this
19 was produced during discovery?

20 MR. TEPPER WEINER: We didn't know
21 that we were allowed to produce discovery as pro
22 se claimants. We just thought that, you know, we
23 would be able to show clear evident proof --

24 MS. TEPPER: We --

1 MR. TEPPER WEINER: -- of --

2 MS. TEPPER: We asked Theresa.

3 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Oh, we did ask
4 Theresa, and she said it was up to you.

5 MS. TEPPER: Yeah, we asked if we
6 could show the video.

7 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Yeah, she said it
8 was -- she said it was totally up to you.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: So my question
10 is, is though you have not previously produced
11 this or shown this to --

12 MR. TEPPER WEINER: No, we have not
13 previously shown it at all. But it is a video of
14 clear -- of exact time frame with the exact state
15 and it shows the truth, the untruth --
16 and hopefully FINRA is designed to recover the
17 truth instead of procedural issues. That we're
18 looking for the truth here, correct, your Honor?

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Yes. But
20 there is also a discovery process which you are
21 well aware of since there was quite a bit of
22 controversy during the proceeding about --

23 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I wasn't aware of
24 this point in the discovery process. I was aware

1 of other things in the discovery process that
2 opposing counsel was in non-compliance of.

3 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

4 MR. TEPPER WEINER: That he didn't
5 produce.

6 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Well,
7 I'm going to grant -- I'm going to agree with
8 Mr. McGuire's objection actually because I think
9 this is something that, while it probably would
10 have been available without a problem had you
11 produced it during discovery, there was quite a
12 bit of litigation over production and
13 nonproduction of materials. And as this was
14 never produced, I think it would be unfair at
15 this time.

16 So Ms. Ullman, to -- I am not
17 going to allow you to show it. But if you want
18 to talk -- you can certainly continue talking
19 through your testimony.

20 MS. ULLMAN: Thank you. Yes, the
21 video just shows that he was completely incapable
22 of following directions. We had my uncle, who
23 was two years older, and his fiancée, my mom was
24 with my dad, and you could just see that while

1 everyone else could follow the directions, my dad
2 just was lost. And it was right during the
3 period.

4 And so we just -- he just wasn't
5 really capable of following as Nick had said, you
6 know, simple directions. He was very much out of
7 it. So it is very difficult to see his decline.

8 And then in the following years,
9 when it was safe to travel again, I was able to
10 return to Chicago, starting in 2022.

11 In 2023 I spent 70 days in
12 Chicago, including the month of December, when
13 dad was dying and to help mom. And I was there
14 on December 28th when Jerry Hymen came over to
15 ask my mom, after my dad had passed, to continue
16 managing his portfolio. And I was also shocked
17 to hear him say that dad had given him a bonus.

18 And then I was further shocked
19 and upset when at my father's funeral Mr. Hymen
20 came up to me and asked me to point out which of
21 the attendees were my dad's law partners so he
22 could recruit more business.

23 In conclusion, I feel that my dad
24 was taken advantage of in his weakest moments by

1 Oppenheimer, specifically by Mr. Hymen.

2 And that is the end of my
3 testimony.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Thank
5 you.

6 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I would like
7 for -- to state for the record that I have the
8 best sister on the planet. And she would
9 dedicate unbelievable amounts of time and effort
10 as daddy's girl to make sure -- and my mom too.
11 And she still does it with my mom. Almost every
12 day do they get on and they do their little
13 exercise routine. And you're just -- I love you
14 to the core of my being. I couldn't ask for a
15 better sister on the planet.

16 MS. ULLMAN: Thank you, Jordan. Love
17 you too.

18 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Mr. Ordower, do
19 you have questions for Nona?

20 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I don't think
21 so. Thank you.

22 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Thank you, Nona.

23 Okay. Now --

24 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Actually I do.

1 Ms. Ullman, considering that you are -- obviously
2 have -- are financially sophisticated yourself,
3 and that you are aware certainly of concepts like
4 fiduciary duties and things like that, was there
5 a point at which you believed, just forming your
6 own opinion, that your dad was not financially
7 responsible at this -- anymore because of his
8 disability?

9 MS. ULLMAN: We were very -- I spoke
10 to my husband about it a lot that we were
11 concerned. He was -- he was resistant to us
12 trying to take things away from him, you know.
13 About his credit cards, and I tried to help him
14 log in. And we went over the passwords. He was
15 obsessive about going -- trying to get into his
16 accounts over and over again. And he kept
17 forgetting the password.

18 And I would help him over and
19 over again just because -- so I knew it was an
20 issue. And we just had to hope, because he would
21 get very paranoid and irritated if we would try
22 and take things away from him, that we just had
23 to trust in the -- you know, Oppenheimer is a
24 great name. So we had to trust in the

1 institution that it was going to be okay.

2 And we definitely thought about
3 it, but it was -- he clearly wasn't capable. And
4 we just -- you know, we just -- I guess at the
5 end of the day we hoped that they would take care
6 of him.

7 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And I
8 assume that you were not -- like, you were not
9 reviewing monthly Oppenheimer statements or
10 anything like that on a --

11 MS. ULLMAN: No.

12 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

13 MR. TEPPER WEINER: We didn't think we
14 had to. We had a fiduciary.

15 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: There is no
16 question.

17 And you -- and Ms. Ullman, you
18 never spoke with Oppenheimer directly then about
19 your dad, I guess, right?

20 MS. ULLMAN: Not until -- I met Jerry
21 for the first time like on the 28th when he came
22 in to review the portfolio. I think that's
23 correct. I can't remember -- I --

24 MS. TEPPER: Well, to ask for -- ask

1 if he could continue managing the portfolio.

2 MR. TEPPER WEINER: That -- yeah,
3 trying to get mom to --

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: That was after
5 your father had passed. Okay. Yeah.

6 All right. And you -- so it
7 sounds like you probably -- did you speak with
8 your mother about creating more safeguards to
9 protect your father financially?

10 MS. ULLMAN: I think we discussed that
11 he was very -- he couldn't be deterred. Like
12 he -- at the end he was convinced that there
13 was -- someone was going to come back to him for
14 malpractice for something that never had actually
15 happened. And he would just get very paranoid
16 and very upset.

17 And so, yeah, it was -- I figured
18 it was just easier to let him continue than to
19 wrest control away because it would have created
20 a lot of emotional distress for him.

21 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
22 right. Thank you. That's all the questions I
23 have. So thank you.

24 If you want to stay on, you may.

1 MS. ULLMAN: Thank you.

2 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

3 Ms. Tepper, any -- any further --

4 MS. TEPPER: Jordan. Jordan is going
5 to present now.

6 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

7 MS. TEPPER: Jordy will present his
8 side.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.

10 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Swear me in.

11 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Would you raise
12 your right hand, please.

13 (Witness sworn.)

14 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Absolutely. Every
15 word that I state is 100 percent fact.

16 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. You may
17 proceed.

18 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Couple things I
19 would like to address right off the bat, your
20 Honor. Mr. Ordower -- Mr. McGuire -- sorry about
21 that. Big difference there. Mr. McGuire stated
22 in his opening statement that there were
23 thousands of emails and thousands of accesses to
24 this -- to the materials. That's a fabrication.

1 There might have been hundreds. There might have
2 been thousands from my dad because he goes
3 obsessively, like compulsively and he liked to
4 log onto his app and you could you see his thing.

5 So in Parkinson's I noticed, as
6 his son, that for some things he would tune into
7 and then he would dial in and he would be like
8 cogent. But then other times he was just totally
9 incogent. For example, you know, he would be
10 watching a TV show, something new, and my mom
11 would have to stop the TV and she would explain
12 who the characters were. Five minutes later,
13 same thing.

14 So the point being is
15 that -- and to say that there were thousands of
16 accesses after my father's passing, it is just
17 not true. I don't know how else to address that
18 this man, who I think he is saying that he has an
19 oath to his client, I think he's a co-conspirator
20 along with the Oppenheimer complaint department,
21 with Mr. Hymen in taking advantage of a senior
22 and defrauding a person with cognitive decline.

23 So in addition, I asked for
24 discovery explicitly. In Mr. Ordower's [sic]

1 amended statement of answer, he states several
2 mis-facts. Again, I'd like to state the fact
3 that he -- he stated that the fees started in mid
4 2022, which, funny, because he also in that same
5 amendment statement of claim, if you read it,
6 your Honor, it is on the FINRA portal, it also
7 states -- that's when he was officially stated
8 that he knew my dad's cognitive decline.

9 So in that same statement of
10 answer he states, we didn't know about the -- we
11 didn't increase the fees until this time and
12 that's when the cognitive decline entered --
13 fact -- I mean, just makes no sense.

14 Second of all, I can -- I up page
15 proved that we got an e-mail from Oppenheimer
16 complaint department stating that the -- that the
17 fees started in January 1st.

18 Nona, can you pull up the page on
19 that thing. It is page -- pull up pages 86
20 through 89.

21 Mr. McGuire has -- also states
22 that Oppenheimer had no knowledge of the
23 cognitive decline. Two things regarding this.
24 Number one, it is implausible that Mr. -- well,

1 let me go through the exhibit first. Okay. So
2 scroll down.

3 And by the way, Mr. Ordower, I'm
4 going to go through this exhibit really quick at
5 the end, from start to finish, so that you
6 can -- I just want to explain what I did. I put
7 little notes in between each exhibit so that it
8 clearly -- I'm not going to go through it all in
9 my hearing because it is just too much. But in
10 your review -- unless you tell me I have to go
11 through it in a hearing in order for it to be
12 valid, but I need to know that.

13 But as you read it in review to
14 decide, make your determination on this case, I
15 very articulately precisely stated the facts of
16 what -- there is a note. And now scroll down.

17 This tells you what the exhibit
18 is going to be. So I go in and tell you exactly
19 what it is. This -- keep going, scrolling down
20 because that's the end of it. So yeah. Okay,
21 right here.

22 Right here again. Nona, can you
23 go back over that management fee right there.
24 Boom.

1 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: To answer your
2 question, Mr. Weiner, you do not have to go
3 through every fact of every entry in order -- I
4 mean, the documents are -- will be in evidence
5 and so I will be free for me to review in their
6 entirety. But certainly if you have particular
7 points that you believe are most important or
8 that you want to stress, you're free to do so.

9 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Perfect.

10 Okay. So on this one e-mail,
11 again that my mother previously referenced, I
12 want to reemphasize the fact that the management
13 fees started 01/01 - 01/31/2021. So January 1st
14 of 2021.

15 You can see that they had the
16 original amount there, \$1,300. And they credit
17 that back. And then they retroactively increased
18 the fees to 1,767.

19 Now, Nona, I need you to go to
20 the exhibit for the signature on dad so he can
21 see that he -- when he signed it. That is going
22 to be page 65. 65.

23 So here's 65. You can look under
24 my dad's signature right here. He did not sign

1 this rate increase letter until January 12th.

2 This is criminal. There is no
3 other way to state it. It is not only fiduciary
4 and it is breaking all the Oppenheimer code of
5 conduct, the SEC rules and regulations, the FINRA
6 rules and regulations, and Illinois state law
7 that they retroactively increase fees prior to
8 the signature date.

9 That's not even including the
10 fact -- let's take for Mr. Ordower -- I mean,
11 Mr. McGuire sorry -- Mr. Ordower, you are -- I
12 look at you, I trust you -- I -- you --

13 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: That's okay.

14 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I believe that you
15 are an ethical and honest person. I'm terribly
16 sorry I keep confusing you, the names.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: That's all
18 right.

19 MR. TEPPER WEINER: It is not
20 intentional.

21 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Actually I
22 have a question which I could ask your mom but I
23 have a feeling that you may be more --

24 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Sure.

1 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- on top of
2 it.

3 When there was a summary of
4 the -- when your mom went through the brief
5 summary of the amounts that you're claiming --

6 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Yes.

7 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- there was
8 \$50,000 wrongful fees.

9 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Correct.

10 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And that's
11 your -- or her terminology.

12 And then there was \$7,088
13 dollars in commissions.

14 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Yeah.

15 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: So those
16 are -- the commissions are separate from the
17 fees.

18 MR. TEPPER WEINER: In one account.
19 And I asked for the -- actually the regional
20 manager of Jerry, after I found all this stuff,
21 I'm, like, wait, there seems to be something
22 wrong here that he signed for the fees but there
23 is one account that's commissions. I go, is that
24 normal? And the regional manager said no, that's

1 not normal.

2 And but, you know, bottom
3 line is it is not normal, but it is legal. They
4 are legally allowed to have a commission account
5 which they churn sales on and cause increased
6 commissions on. And that's why I believe that
7 some of the -- especially on the aggregate, it is
8 significantly over 1.95 percent because they have
9 fees for two of the accounts and commissions on a
10 third one.

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
12 right. I didn't mean to derail you, so --

13 MR. TEPPER WEINER: No, you're good.

14 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- go ahead.

15 MR. MCGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, I have a --

16 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I don't want you
17 to speak during -- can you mute him while I'm
18 speaking? I want --

19 (Simultaneous speakers.)

20 MR. TEPPER WEINER: -- opposing
21 counsel while I'm speaking, period, end of
22 discussion. He is not entitled to disrupt me.

23 MR. MCGUIRE: I'm entitled to make a
24 an objection.

1 MR. TEPPER WEINER: You're not
2 entitled to disrupt me.

3 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Mr. Weiner,
4 would you let him speak, please.

5 Mr. McGuire, go ahead.

6 MR. MCGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, there was
7 never any -- in any of the prior pleadings, any
8 concern about commissions, relatively small
9 commissions, on a commission-based account. I'm
10 going to object to any references to the
11 commission account. It wasn't raised in the
12 pleadings. It is not properly to be adjudicated
13 in this proceeding, number one.

14 Number two, I'm also going to
15 object to any comments.

16 (Simultaneous speakers.)

17 MR. MCGUIRE: If I could please
18 finish. I'm sure, Mr. Weiner, your mother raised
19 you not to interrupt me or any nobody else who is
20 speaking.

21 Mr. Ordower, I would like --
22 also like to object to any reference to any
23 conversations Mr. Weiner may have had with
24 Oppenheimer's branch manager in Chicago. It is

1 rank hearsay. We don't know it to be true. He
2 can't make those assertions. I'm not calling
3 that individual as a witness given the brevity of
4 our presentation.

5 So I have two objections. One,
6 the \$7,000 commission charge is inappropriate
7 here; and two, I object to any hearsay evidence.

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Thank
9 you. Those are noted.

10 And, Mr. Weiner, you can continue
11 now.

12 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I got a question,
13 Mr. Ordower.

14 I apologize I interrupted you,
15 Mr. McGuire.

16 When Mr. McGuire is speaking on
17 his behalf, can I object to everything that I
18 think that is fraudulent?

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I -- no. I
20 mean --

21 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay.

22 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: If you have an
23 objection to something he is saying, you can
24 certainly make an objection. However --

1 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay.

2 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- it is not
3 just a free-for-all. And I understand that both
4 of you disagree with each other's positions. And
5 presumably you're doing a -- you're both
6 doing -- going to be doing an adequate job of
7 laying out your positions and the evidence. So I
8 understand that you completely disagree with each
9 other on a lot of things. And you don't need to,
10 you know, argue tit for tat for every time
11 something -- you disagree with something.

12 But -- and in fact, that
13 part -- part of the reason why each side is given
14 a half hour at the end is so that you can rebut
15 or respond to things that you disagree that the
16 other side may have said. Okay?

17 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Exactly. I just
18 want to make it clear from this moment on, if
19 Mr. McGuire is going to be objecting to my
20 testimony --

21 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Well --

22 MR. TEPPER WEINER: -- I'm going to do
23 the same in reciprocation for things that I think
24 that are illegal.

1 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
2 right. Well, hopefully you understand the
3 procedure and so --

4 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I'll do my best.

5 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Go ahead.
6 Yeah.

7 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Sorry. I get
8 emotional. I have been my whole life.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: That's fine.

10 MR. TEPPER WEINER: It is not my
11 intent. I'm not a malice person. As a matter of
12 fact, my father used to get upset with me because
13 I used to trap the little bugs in our house and
14 take them -- even wasps and everything, I would
15 go trap them and take them out. I'm like no,
16 dad, they are a sentient life.

17 And I -- you know, let me
18 introduce who I am. My name is Jordan Weiner. I
19 own Internet Consulting, Inc. in the internet
20 era. I built children's educational businesses
21 that help teach manners and -- even though I'm
22 not showing what I have done for -- when I see
23 injustice and wrong. But I have helped with
24 child safety. And I can go into my clients of

1 what I was -- this isn't about me.

2 But real briefly, I want to
3 explain that I had to do pro se claimant because
4 I tried hiring an attorney for this. No attorney
5 would take it because it is not \$250,000. So
6 Mr. McGuire has 40 years of legal expertise
7 against my four months.

8 Not only do I -- do I not know
9 the language and I do not know the procedures and
10 I do not know -- I just figured that, hey, a
11 tribunal should really be about just delving down
12 to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
13 the truth. No half truths. No derailling. No
14 not admitting evidence, you know, because it is
15 going to prove a point, and (unintelligible) got
16 to protect the preying upon a senior citizen.

17 You're right, mom, I just got to
18 read my statement. I get too upset.

19 A couple other quick admin
20 notes. You know, bottom line is I went through
21 Mr. McGuire's discovery and after -- you know,
22 bottom line is, like my mother said, there is no
23 mention of any meeting with her. He states that
24 there was a meeting.

1 After I -- me -- after I meet
2 with every client for every meeting, I email a
3 detailed summary of the details discussed. That
4 is profession -- that is the professional way to
5 conduct business.

6 With no mention of a single
7 e-mail from Mr. Hymen to Terri about any meeting
8 or any rate increase is proof that no meeting and
9 no e-mail that addressed the rate increase with
10 my mother was -- happened. I specifically asked
11 for that discovery. It was not provided. I
12 specifically -- I didn't -- you're right.

13 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Just so you
14 understand, the issues here relate to the fees
15 that were charged during the account and during
16 your father's lifetime, and it is not really
17 about difficulties or attempts to resolve this
18 afterwards or investigate it, really.

19 I mean, certainly we're looking
20 for the truth, but there is no damages that are
21 going be awarded for just --

22 MR. TEPPER WEINER: For harming my
23 mom?

24 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: For

1 disagreeing about the procedures or anything like
2 that.

3 Once this is done, it is really
4 just about whether the fees and commissions were
5 improper.

6 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay. Well, we
7 just showed you evidence pure blank.

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. I
9 appreciate that.

10 MR. TEPPER WEINER: And they
11 retroactively fee beforehand. We -- my father
12 was 80 years old when this was -- he was -- my
13 dad contracted for fiduciary services. They
14 didn't give him the fiduciary.

15 Okay, mother. I'm going to read
16 this.

17 Thank you, your Honor, for
18 guiding me back on track. I don't take offense.
19 I have a problem with that in life all over the
20 place. I'm very passionate, and I speak off the
21 top of my head with my emotion instead of just my
22 mind.

23 Okay. Let me regroup and --
24 here's my hearing statement, your Honor. This is

1 my executive summary. This case involves
2 systematic violations of fiduciary duties by
3 Oppenheimer and Company, OPCO, and its
4 representative Mr. Hymen, against my father
5 Lawrence Weiner, an 80-year-old senior investor
6 suffering from Parkinson's disease and stage 4
7 lung cancer with cognitive declines.

8 The violations include
9 significant fee increases implemented
10 retroactively when my father lacked capacity.
11 Failure to document essential medical information
12 despite knowledge of conditions. Even if it was
13 after the rate increase, and Mr. McGuire clearly
14 states that at some point he did -- was -- he,
15 Mr. Hymen, did agree that he knew my father had
16 medical issues, his -- my father's account was
17 never updated with duty of care. Never. That's
18 a violation.

19 Exploitation of a vulnerable
20 senior in direct violation of FINRA Rule 2165,
21 not to mention Illinois state law, and morality
22 and ethics and good -- being a good human.

23 Supervisory failures in complaint
24 handling and investigation. Multiple regulatory

1 violations of FINRA, SEC, and Illinois state
2 laws.

3 We seek restitution of \$122,438
4 which is representing unauthorized fees collected
5 during the period of exploitation, along with
6 associated costs necessitated by OPCO's failure
7 to address these violations through their
8 internal complaint procedures.

9 This restitution is allowed
10 under the simple FINRA rules. I want to be very
11 clear about that. The \$122,000 isn't -- it has
12 got a \$50,000 cap, which I think we're entitled
13 to more, but we're -- we wanted to limit it
14 because we -- okay.

15 \$50,000 in compensatory damages,
16 plus administrative fees, plus expert witness
17 fees, and interest.

18 Introduction. I appreciate the
19 opportunity to address this tribunal, your Honor.
20 My testimony will expand upon evidence presented
21 by my mother, Terri P. Tepper, providing critical
22 context regarding OPCO's violations of their
23 contractual and regulatory obligations for my
24 father.

1 My testimony for this FINRA
2 arbitration will encompass the factual
3 background, including key timeline of events,
4 OPCO's procedural misconduct, details regarding
5 regulatory frame -- framework violations, relief
6 deserved. Please maximize the relief within the
7 constraints, your Honor. We have been through
8 hell, and we should just have -- just to
9 get -- sorry.

10 OPCO was contracted and
11 compensated for fiduciary services they failed to
12 provide. In fact, they preyed upon my father
13 whom they were legally obligated to protect.

14 My father's vulnerable
15 condition. My father suffered from both
16 Parkinson's disease and stage 4 lung cancer
17 during the relevant period. This caused
18 progressive cognitive decline. You can't hide
19 this. He died from it.

20 MS. TEPPER: Just go.

21 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Medical
22 documentation from Dr. Desai establishing
23 diminished capacity during the period when the
24 fee was increased -- fee increases were

1 implemented.

2 Expert witness testimony from
3 Mr. Gorsline, who conducted over 400 physical
4 therapy sessions with my father, confirmed
5 observable cognitive deterioration at the exact
6 time of the rate increase and before.

7 Following a 2017 accident caused
8 by his advancing dementia, I told him you're
9 never driving again. Terri and I drove
10 him -- I'm sorry, I get emotional when I talk
11 about my dad because he was an amazing person and
12 I miss him. Give me one second. Thank you.
13 Just give me a second.

14 I showed up at that accident
15 before the police even got there. He had no idea
16 what hit him.

17 MS. TEPPER: Just read.

18 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Terri and I drove
19 him to -- and him and the NeuroBalance shuttle
20 drove my father to all his medical appointments
21 and therapy sessions.

22 I noticed that Mr. McGuire, in
23 his exhibits, he is using the NeuroBalance log
24 sheet and the client activity logs to try and

1 prove that my -- to have some --

2 MS. TEPPER: Just go ahead.

3 MR. TEPPER WEINER: -- argument that
4 defies scientific logic that has anything to do
5 with cognitive impairment, which it does not. I
6 want to make it real clear that before
7 Mr. McGuire presents those.

8 Okay. The fiduciary
9 relationship. My father specifically contracted
10 with OPCO for fiduciary wealth management
11 services, which require the highest level
12 standard care -- of care and loyalty. OPCO did
13 not provide their legal contractual fiduciary
14 obligations.

15 Oppenheimer's wealth management
16 clearly states on their website, Oppenheimer will
17 provide you with advice, products, and strategies
18 to help you achieve your financial goals while
19 planning for both expected and unexpected life
20 events.

21 Having terminal medical
22 conditions that result in the escalating
23 cognitive decline fall under unexpected life
24 events Mr. Hymen ignored under his fiduciary duty

1 of care obligation, period.

2 As a fiduciary, OPCO was legally
3 obligated to act solely in my father's best
4 interests. Avoid conflicts of interest. Make
5 suitable recommendations based on my father's
6 circumstances. Adjust services in response to
7 significant life changes and serious illnesses.

8 Timeline of events. My father
9 was diagnosed with Parkinson's disease at the
10 time he contracted with OPCO in 2018. In 2018 my
11 father opened an investment account with OPCO
12 under the fiduciary wealth management services.

13 In 2019 he added two additional
14 accounts to the fiduciary wealth management
15 services.

16 January 12th, 2021. Fee increase
17 documentation was signed, which is what my
18 sister -- my loving sister showed as an exhibit.
19 Yet charges were applied retroactively to January
20 1st.

21 MS. TEPPER: Keep going.

22 MR. TEPPER WEINER: It is a clear
23 breach.

24 MS. TEPPER: Keep going.

1 MR. TEPPER WEINER: In January 24th
2 Terri terminated Mr. Hymen from managing her
3 inherited portfolio. Mr. Hymen left and then
4 came back to her house and stated, by the way,
5 Lawre gave me a bonus as a justification for the
6 fee increase. We all -- this dumbfounded all of
7 us. My mom was totally blind sided, your Honor.
8 She told --

9 MS. TEPPER: (Unintelligible).

10 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I will, mom.

11 She totally counted on fiduciary
12 services. She was dealing with -- she was
13 actually caregiver for my father. I couldn't do
14 that -- I couldn't care give for -- she was under
15 tremendous -- I'm going to keep going. Thank
16 you, mother, for focusing me.

17 In March 2024, after reviewing
18 my father's account statements, we found tens of
19 thousands of dollars were unlawfully migrated
20 from his account to OPCO via significant fee
21 increase.

22 On May 22nd, 2024, an initial
23 complaint was filed with OPCO's regional manager.
24 You'll see that's in the exhibits, your Honor.

1 We don't have to go into it right now.

2 We have -- he stated that the
3 final reason for OPCO -- because I kept -- I had
4 many conversations of trying to settle this
5 before it came to the FINRA arbitration.

6 MS. TEPPER: You said that. Keep
7 going.

8 MR. TEPPER WEINER: That he stated
9 that it would not take corrective action because
10 of portfolio growth.

11 In April I filed a corporate
12 complaint including Mr. Gorsline's documentation
13 of cognitive decline.

14 On April 19th, 2024, OPCO
15 dismissed the complaint without proper
16 investigation.

17 I tried to settle this multiple
18 times. Not a penny was OPCO's response.

19 False -- okay. So here,
20 false -- the next section. False equivalence
21 between physical activity and cognitive capacity.

22 OPCO's counsel begin -- is
23 basing their hearing exhibits on my father's
24 NeuroBalance attendance and client log file is

1 attempting to equate my father's physical therapy
2 participation and logging onto his Oppenheimer
3 account with cognitive competence. These
4 arguments fundamentally misunderstand both
5 neurology -- neurolog- -- sorry. I can't
6 pronounce that word.

7 How do you pronounce that, mom,
8 neur- --

9 MS. TEPPER: Neurological.

10 MR. TEPPER WEINER: -- neurological
11 science and the nature of cognitive decline. I
12 wrote it, but I can't pronounce it. It is funny.

13 Medical experts have testified
14 that my father's ability to maintain physical
15 therapy appointments to, which he was driven to
16 because he could not operate a motor vehicle,
17 reflects our family's commitment to his care, not
18 his cog- -- not his cognitive capacity.

19 Again, neurological science
20 clearly distinguishes between habitual patterns
21 preserved in procedural memory. I saw, I
22 witnessed it. And higher executive functions,
23 impaired cognitive decline.

24 As Dr. Desai -- let's see.

1 Mr. Gorsline confirmed that although my father
2 occasionally used a -- used his phone
3 during -- oh, he didn't say that. But did my
4 father use -- here, hold on.

5 Did my father use his phone while
6 he was at your facility?

7 MR. GORSLINE: At times, yes, to
8 either get a ride or answer a phone call.

9 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Right. And do you
10 think that he was able to do complex calculations
11 of math cognitively and understand complex -- you
12 know, other situations besides clicking on the
13 phone knowing that that phone was a way for him
14 to get us to pick him up?

15 MR. GORSLINE: Well, with the mental
16 exercises that I had him do during our physical
17 training sessions together, I don't believe that
18 he had the mental state -- capability to do
19 something like that.

20 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Thank you.

21 The point being is that my dad
22 could use his phone. And also when he talked
23 about law, certain things, a case, boom, he got
24 clear and he -- the stuff that he could recite

1 from 30 years ago was amazing. And -- but some
2 of the stuff in the immediate thing he was just
3 totally -- yeah.

4 Okay. Anyway, sorry.

5 So -- picked up. His cognitive decline was
6 unmistakably evident across 400 sessions in a
7 controlled environment. And he was
8 definite -- and was definitely verified as of
9 January 1st, 2021, precisely when the significant
10 rate increase was implemented. The documented
11 evidence establishes that my father could not
12 perform basic calculations.

13 Every time we went out to the
14 restaurants, Mr. Ordower, I had to do the -- he
15 couldn't do 20 percent on the tips. I had to
16 calculate those.

17 Manage his medication. I kept
18 telling -- I got in a huge fight with my family
19 over this. He was doing his own medication for a
20 while. I am like, he can't do his own
21 medication. He can't do his own medication.
22 Finally they realized, you're right, he was
23 taking the wrong meds and it was screwing up his
24 meds. And so they had to literally take over his

1 medication.

2 And not only that, but you had
3 to watch him do it. Even if you took out the
4 pills and put them on the counter and said, okay,
5 these are your medications for the day, that
6 didn't do it because sometimes between that and
7 going to get water and coming back it was gone.
8 And the -- he screwed it all up all the time. It
9 was tragic. It was really tragic. I miss my
10 dad.

11 The testimony from multiple
12 people establishes that my father's condition
13 created precisely the vulnerability and financial
14 regulations and that the financial regulations
15 are designed to protect against -- we trusted
16 that -- making OPCO's exploitation all the more
17 egregious.

18 Mr. McGuire put the NeuroBalance
19 attendance records in his hearing exhibits. As
20 stated, my father did not drive because of his
21 cognitive decline. Terri and I made sure that my
22 father got to every therapy session in order to
23 lovingly prolong the quality of his life.
24 Whatever Mr. McGuire is going to -- going to do

1 to argue, that -- to prove anything about
2 scientific cognitive decline realities is false.

3 MS. TEPPER: (Unintelligible).

4 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I will. There is
5 one other thing I wanted to mention.

6 I really appreciate
7 Mr. McGuire -- I went through some of the
8 discovery. I am very appreciative that he -- and
9 hopefully he's going to present it -- that
10 Mr. Hymen took all these courses and classes and
11 attended all these sessions and that
12 Oppenheimer's diligent.

13 I don't believe that the
14 Oppenheimer company in general is bad. As a
15 matter of fact, I think Oppenheimer helps a whole
16 lot of people and does great things. There is
17 just a few things in there.

18 I have to focus on this, mom,
19 please, for one second. I'll get back to what I
20 am saying, but what I am saying is relevant.

21 The -- some of the materials
22 that Mr. McGuire is going to do is going to go
23 through all the -- based on the exhibits is that
24 he -- Mr. Hymen passed all these certifications

1 and all that stuff. I'm very glad he did that
2 because it shows that he knows, he knew what the
3 rules were, and he intentionally surpassed it.

4 Okay. In concluding --
5 conclusion regarding the cognitive decline
6 matter. Client log files, account access, tax
7 returns that my mother said -- I mean, literally
8 I watched it, he just signed whatever we told him
9 to.

10 If I -- sometimes there is stuff
11 being done around the house and we'd get his
12 checkbook and we'd be like, dad, this is what it
13 is. And I would put the checkbook, and he just
14 sign. Whatever we put in front of him he would
15 sign because he was a very happy, loving, funny
16 man, and amicable person.

17 Anyway, it is established that
18 my father had cognitive decline at the time of
19 the rate increase even though he could log into
20 accounts and would sign anything put in front of
21 him.

22 Regulatory violations. FINRA
23 Rule violations. Rule 2010, standards of
24 commercial honor and principles of trade. OPCO

1 failed to observe high standards of commercial
2 honor and just -- and just and equitable
3 principles of trade by implementing excessive fee
4 increases 56 percent on a vulnerable senior
5 client. And again vulnerable senior client.

6 Even without the cognitive
7 decline, your Honor, we win this case. It is an
8 egregious multiplier and magnifier that it was
9 the cognitive decline.

10 The complaint -- and that's
11 indisputable. I mean, look, I want to show one
12 exhibit real quick, Nona. Can you go to page 21,
13 please. Scroll to 22 then. Go to 22, if that's
14 21.

15 No. Oh, wow. I'm sorry. I
16 read -- I'm telling you the wrong one. 71. I
17 meant 71. I apologize.

18 That's my dad's death
19 certificate. I want it on record that when he
20 died, he was 82 years old. When this rate
21 increase happened, he was 80 years old, in the
22 middle of dying of progressive terminal illnesses
23 that had physical and cognitive decline. You
24 can't side step this bullet. This --

1 MS. TEPPER: Go on.

2 MR. GORSLINE: Rule, FINRA Rule 2165,
3 financial exploitation of specified adults. My
4 father was 80 years old qualifies him as a
5 specified adult under Rule 2165(a)(1)(A), period,
6 end of discussion.

7 MS. TEPPER: Keep going.

8 MR. TEPPER WEINER: OPCO engaged in
9 wrongful taking of funds through unjustified fee
10 increases when my father lacked capacity to
11 consent.

12 Everything in this -- that you
13 have seen so far by all the medical professionals
14 he lacked the capacity. As a son, lacked the
15 capacity.

16 The retroactive implementation
17 of fees before the paperwork was signed
18 constituted a clear violation of this rule
19 designed to protect vulnerable seniors.

20 Rule 3110, supervision
21 requirements. OPCO failed to establish and
22 maintain a system to supervise the activities of
23 each associated person that they -- and including
24 they dismissed valid complaints without

1 investigation.

2 I submitted Nick's letter. They
3 dismissed it. You saw the testimony. There is
4 no better person on the planet, besides
5 Dr. Desai, that could testify to my father's
6 cognitive decline.

7 Failed to properly supervise
8 Mr. Hymen's management of my father's account.
9 They disregarded expert documentation of
10 cognitive decline. Allowed a financial advisor
11 to accept a bonus from a client in direct
12 violation of their own code of conduct.

13 MS. TEPPER: Keep going.

14 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Rule 4 -- thank
15 you, mother. You're awesome. You're the best
16 mom ever.

17 Rule 4512, customer account
18 information. OPCO failed to maintain accurate
19 records of essential facts about my father's
20 accounts. Specifically, they did not document
21 his medical conditions despite Mr. Hymen's
22 awareness, even if he is stating it is
23 implausible that he didn't know at the time of
24 the rate increase, because I had many

1 conversations as he tried to wealth -- recoup my
2 wealth management services. I just don't
3 remember those exact days so I can't solidly say
4 those were beforehand.

5 But anyway, at some point I
6 definitely discussed his diseases when
7 he -- because Mr. Hymen was trying to recoup
8 my -- having his services for my wealth
9 management when I inherited. This was with him
10 when he was soliciting as my broker.

11 Even if this were fact -- so even
12 if he didn't know, he should have known because
13 of his duty of care.

14 Did -- he cannot suitably
15 information -- did not update suitably
16 information based on changing circumstances.

17 Let's go to the SEC regulations.
18 Regulations best interest, Regulation BI, which
19 clearly states a broker when making a
20 recommendation of any securities transaction or
21 investment strategy involves strategies,
22 including amount of recommendations to a retail
23 customer, which was my father, shall act in the
24 best interests of the retail customer at the time

1 the recommendation is made without placing the
2 financial or other interest of the broker. It is
3 clear -- black and white.

4 MS. TEPPER: Keep going.

5 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Clear obligation.

6 17 CF- -- I don't know what that
7 symbol is, but I know you all know what that
8 symbol is either. 240-15 -- I or 1 -- dash
9 1(a)(2)(ii). This is a subheading of the SEC
10 regulations under the regulation of less -- is
11 care obligation. The broker/dealer or natural
12 person who was associated with the person or
13 broker/dealer in making recommendations exercises
14 reasonable diligence of care and skill
15 to -- Mr. Hymen failed to exercise reasonable
16 diligence, care, and skill by implementing fee
17 increases inappropriate for a client with
18 terminal illnesses and diminished capacity.

19 He completely abandoned fiduciary
20 obligations while continuing to collect increased
21 fees from fiduciary services not rendered.
22 That's what my dad paid for. He contracted him
23 for fiduciary services. Fiduciary services were
24 not rendered.

1 MS. TEPPER: Keep going.

2 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Conflict of
3 interest obligation, 17 CFR 240.15I-1(a)(2)(iii)
4 this time. Failed to address Mr. Hymen's
5 conflict of interest when he prioritized personal
6 gain over client welfare. Accepted a bonus in
7 direct violation of ethical standards and company
8 policy. It specifically states under provision
9 (D), identifying and eliminating any sales
10 contest, sales quotas, or bonuses.

11 Illinois state law. Let's go to
12 Illinois state law. Illinois state law 1953,
13 violations of provisions prohibiting fraudulent
14 and deceptive practices. That's what this --
15 unsuitable recommendations for a vulnerable
16 senior client. A significant rate increase that
17 harmed his portfolio is an unsuitable
18 recommendation. It harms the portfolio.

19 Breach of fiduciary obligations
20 under state law. Exploitation of a vulnerable
21 senior with cognitive impairment.

22 OPCO's own -- that's for the
23 Illinois state -- Illinois security -- state
24 laws, security laws.

1 Oppenheimer's own code of
2 conduct violations. Conflicts of interest.
3 Direct violation engaging in any conflict -- in
4 any conduct that represents a conflict of
5 interest is prohibited. When Mr. Hymen
6 implemented excessive fee structures prioritizing
7 personal gain, that's prohibitive.

8 When Mr. Hymen justified a 56
9 percent rate increase based on receiving a bonus
10 from my father, that's prohibitive. I wouldn't
11 have brought this up if it was a nominal bonus.
12 If a couple hundred bucks or even a thousand, no
13 problem. But this was tens of thousands of
14 dollars.

15 Compliance with laws and
16 regulations. Failed to know, respect, and comply
17 with all laws, rules, and regulations by
18 disregarding requirements for senior investors.
19 Violating SEC regulations, BI, obligation, best
20 interest. Standard of conduct for a broker.
21 Implementing unsuitable fee structures. Evidence
22 of misconduct.

23 Fee increase implementation.
24 Documentation confirms fees were increased

1 retroactively to January 21st, 2021, while the
2 paperwork wasn't signed until January 12th, 2022.

3 In certain months excess charges
4 exceeded 1 point -- even the 1.95 percent
5 wrongfully increased fees documented in my
6 mother's Excel spreadsheets.

7 By the way, during this
8 discovery process, it would have been so much
9 easier if we could just agree on the numbers.
10 And we have a right -- they said that
11 they -- Oppenheimer's website states there is
12 going to be a smooth transition to the inheritor.
13 And under the situation, we deserve to have it.
14 Simply put, these are the -- these are the fees
15 that were taken and these were -- instead my mom
16 spent days data entering this stuff. She's 83
17 years old and it took away from her life. And
18 she cares about money. And it blind sided her.
19 And right at the worst moment in her life when
20 she was grieving the loss of my father, she was
21 having to deal with knowing that she was also
22 blind sided by a fiduciary taking her
23 inheritance.

24 This fee increase resulted -- I'm

1 sorry, mom.

2 This fee increase resulted in
3 wrongful charges. 29,000 in the largest account.
4 6,900 in the second largest account. And 190
5 in -- 1,992 in the smallest account.

6 No justification for the fee
7 increase was provided by Mr. Hymen's statement
8 about receiving a bonus.

9 Furthermore, Oppenheimer's
10 complaint department made no mention of
11 additional services being rendered that would
12 warrant this significant increase. Therefore,
13 Mr. McGuire, as the attorney, is stating reasons
14 that the broker and the complaint department
15 didn't state is evidently manufactured.

16 Complaint department failures.
17 OPCO dismissed Mr. Gorsline's expert witness
18 letter detailing my father's cognitive decline
19 without proper investigation. OPCO's complaint
20 department responses explicitly reference and
21 dismissed the cognitive decline evidence.

22 I think we should show that. No.
23 Well, it is in the exhibits. I might go through
24 it at the end, but -- yeah, they decline his

1 evidence.

2 Yeah. Not only once, but
3 then -- then because they declined Nick's first
4 letter that clearly stated it and was -- then we
5 did an expert witness letter detailing all the
6 exact credentials and they dismissed that as
7 well, which is a clear cut case of failure to
8 supervise.

9 Oppenheimer improperly used
10 portfolio growth. He did it in his opening
11 statement. That's an unlawful, illegal blemish.
12 Because the market goes up, you can't steal from
13 the client. Oh, my God, that would cause chaos
14 in our society.

15 Oppenheimer improperly used
16 portfolio growth as a justification for not
17 addressing the fiduciary breach despite the fact
18 that percentage based management fees already
19 benefit from the portfolio growth, like my mother
20 said.

21 That wasn't enough. It wasn't
22 enough that he -- that my father paid him 50,000,
23 he had to go way above that. I mean, come on.

24 OPCO rejected multiple settlement

1 attempts. I literally -- I try to avoid this at
2 all costs, your Honor. I asked to only refund
3 the wrongfully taken fees.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Let's not --
5 let not get into settlement efforts.

6 MR. TEPPER WEINER: This is not now,
7 this was before I filed the arbitration which
8 leads to why I had to file the arbitration.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I understand
10 that. I understand that. But settlement is
11 not -- that's really not a subject of the
12 litigation.

13 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay. Procedural
14 misconduct during the arbitration. OPCO and its
15 counsel have engaged in procedural tactics that
16 have unnecessarily complicated this proceeding.

17 This is directly relevant in
18 several aspects, your Honor. Number one, he's
19 objecting to the administrative fees sometimes.
20 It is directly because of -- of these -- listen
21 to these. You were there. You witnessed it.

22 February 21st, 2025, opposed
23 expert witness documentation despite 400 therapy
24 sessions and clear evidence of cognitive decline.

1 I had to respond to that. Keep
2 in mind, I'm a pro se claimant. I'm not an
3 attorney. I had to learn the language. I had to
4 learn how to file a motion on FINRA. I had to do
5 all this in four months. I had to put aside my
6 running Internet Consulting, Inc. and my other
7 life things to address this. It caused me harm.
8 And I had to do it because it was -- it upset my
9 mother.

10 MS. TEPPER: Keep going, hun.

11 MR. TEPPER WEINER: April 2nd, 2025,
12 successfully moved to have me removed as a
13 claimant.

14 April 9th, 2025, filed to amend
15 statement of answer to add expungement claim
16 increasing cost, despite Mr. Hymen's clear
17 fiduciary violations and history -- and history
18 on record of prior FINRA complaints.

19 As I mentioned, your Honor, I
20 looked it up, time after time after time after
21 time, every time I mentioned it, that's a
22 FINRA case that happened with Mr. Hymen where
23 they actually settled and they made money even
24 though they were caught red-handed.

1 April 10th, misrepresented
2 discovery compliance by substituting a client log
3 file for the requested client financial Excel
4 spreadsheet, which subsequently added to the
5 hearing exhibit list and -- and he had added to
6 this -- apparently to make an unfounded argument
7 about regarding cognitive capacity. Additional
8 reason I asked for a client financial.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I would
10 suggest we stick to the substance of the dispute
11 rather than --

12 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay. I'm going
13 to skip over.

14 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- proceeding.

15 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Well, the
16 reason --

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER:
18 (Unintelligible).

19 MR. TEPPER WEINER: -- that the
20 procedural misconduct is of value
21 because -- let's go -- let me go right to the
22 management fees then.

23 Nona, can you go to -- I have a
24 whole thing about the management fees which he

1 was -- which Mr. McGuire was objecting to.

2 Let's see. Client and
3 documentation. That's going to be -- I'm looking
4 for the management fees. It has to be in here.

5 The administrator -- oh, yeah,
6 here we go. 133 through 138. All these filings,
7 the reason I'm bringing up all these filings one
8 by one by one by one, it is not to -- well, I
9 would like to discredit the attorney because --
10 so I'll save it. Besides that, the main reason
11 is -- scroll to the next one now. Let's just
12 start going through this.

13 And I summarize this at -- every
14 single one of these. When this started, I knew
15 it was going to end up in arbitration so I
16 literally started meticulously documenting my
17 time, the exact dates, the exact hours, exactly
18 what I was working on. And as you can see, every
19 single one of these -- keep scrolling down, Nona.

20 MS. TEPPER: You were justifying
21 the --

22 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I'm justifying the
23 administrative fees because of Mr. Ordower --
24 Mr. McGuire's dilatory tactics. And I was going

1 down one by one on these so that I can explain.

2 All these dilatory costs, all
3 this extra everything, it is an attorney tactic
4 to derail me all the -- and I -- anyway,
5 bottom -- keep scrolling down a little bit more.
6 Little bit more. Keep going. Keep going.

7 You can see right there. Stop.
8 You can stop right there. Thank you, Nona.

9 So bottom is line, with all
10 this -- all these dil- -- these wrongful things
11 that make no sense at all, and then not only did
12 he try to expunge it, but then he tried it again
13 after you declined it and so forth and so on. I
14 literally -- this added --

15 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. So
16 just --

17 MR. TEPPER WEINER: -- to 49 --

18 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: So just I
19 understand then looking at this --

20 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Yeah.

21 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- the \$49,350
22 in administrative costs is basically your time
23 that you're looking for?

24 MR. TEPPER WEINER: That's correct.

1 And what -- I tried to hire an attorney, that
2 probably would have exceeded this with all these
3 dilatory things. But -- and my hourly rate is
4 way, far less than what I charge in Internet
5 Consulting, Inc.

6 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. I
7 understand. Thank you for that clarification.

8 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Yes. That's my
9 time.

10 Okay. So I'm going to skip
11 by all -- I had a whole long list of dilatory
12 tactics that I had to address and it cost time.
13 So I'm going to go.

14 Does it make sense to state what
15 the opposing counsel completely falsely stated
16 that I had to correct -- that correct for this
17 hearing? Because he's making false statements.

18 I want to ask Mr. Ordower this
19 question. I'm asking, because I don't know
20 whether to read this whole section or not.

21 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: You know, I
22 can't tell you what -- what --

23 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay.

24 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- to argue,

1 but what I try to focus on is the evidence. And
2 as I said, the key issue here in my mind is
3 whether there was an improper excessive fees and
4 possibly excessive commissions. And then
5 everything else is sort of a by-product of that
6 in terms of assessment of fees or costs or
7 anything like that.

8 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Okay. Great. I
9 love it. We're in agreement.

10 Basically one -- a couple of
11 points that I would like to bring up.

12 Mr. Ordower [sic] stated that I gamed -- I'm
13 trying to game the system by forcing our claim to
14 revert from simple arbitration cap to -- at the
15 last moment. Despite my -- I actually filed an
16 amended -- we don't have to go into all that.

17 The arbitration -- the
18 arbitration severely affected my mother's well-
19 being. I know that -- it is one thing to address
20 if there is a fiduciary breach and then what
21 those actual dollar amounts were. But punitive
22 and treble damages and other things taken
23 to -- we just tried to make -- get corrective
24 action. By not giving corrective action, it

1 further harmed our family. And that's got to be
2 taken into consideration.

3 Relief requested. She already
4 went over that. And we did, so I don't have to
5 do that.

6 The expert witness fees, I just
7 want to state, they became \$9,000, not because of
8 me. I did not want them. And I feel horrible
9 that Nick is sitting -- Nick is sitting here
10 instead of helping Parkinson's people that -- and
11 I'm telling you I went to these Parkinson's
12 classes, and I would say, oh, my God, thank you,
13 God, so much, Nick, for helping my dad. And all
14 these Parkinson's patients's eyes would light up.
15 They'd have a moment of clarity when -- for some
16 reason Parkinson's, what they do is they have a
17 moment of clarity. Him being gone from there, I
18 feel horrible that he had to do this for --

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Do you want to
20 tell me what the \$9,000 in expert witness fees
21 represents?

22 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Yeah, 5,000 -- it
23 was for two letters -- for Nick it was 4,000,
24 which is two letters, and then for his sitting

1 here all day. Well, he's doing that.

2 For the doctor, it was -- it
3 was, again, it was letters and detailing
4 everything in her live testimony. And it is very
5 reasonable. I mean, it is -- hers was 5,000,
6 yeah.

7 I saw expert fees that exceeded
8 \$20,000. Everything I have tried to do has been
9 very reasonable.

10 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Have those
11 been paid?

12 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I'm sorry, what?

13 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Have those
14 fees been paid?

15 MS. TEPPER: Not yet.

16 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Not yet.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

18 MR. TEPPER WEINER: No, they have not
19 been paid yet. I'm going to pay them. I'm
20 paying them whether -- whether I prevail in this
21 or not I have to pay them.

22 MS. TEPPER: (Unintelligible).

23 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Just so you know.

24 We respectfully request OPCO

1 bear all FINRA filing costs and fees associated
2 with this arbitration. That's another reason I
3 was bringing that up. There is tremendous fees
4 in this arbitration directly caused by OPCO's
5 dilatory tactics. And I'm scared about it. I'm
6 petrified that not only did they harm my
7 father -- prey on my father and harm my mother,
8 that I am going to have to come up and pay for
9 it.

10 Reasonable settlements all of
11 which some were literally rejected -- yeah,
12 that's why the -- that's why my settlements came
13 into play. I know you don't want to hear it, but
14 I got to tell you, I have tried to settle for
15 the -- for just the wrongful fees. Half the
16 fees. A quarter of the fees. Not a penny was
17 their response.

18 MR. McGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, if I could,
19 I'm willing to waive the general prohibition
20 about settlement discussions if Mr. Weiner wants
21 to put on what the last --

22 MR. TEPPER WEINER: We are good
23 (unintelligible).

24 MR. McGUIRE: -- settlement

1 (unintelligible) --

2 (Simultaneous speakers.)

3 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.

4 Let's -- I understand that. Let's just skip over
5 the settlement discussions.

6 MR. MCGUIRE: Glad to hear that.

7 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I lost my --

8 MS. TEPPER: When you --

9 MR. TEPPER WEINER: You know, I don't
10 need anything. I'm done. I can't -- I'm too
11 emotional and I'm frazzled.

12 Bottom line is, is that -- oh, I
13 should go -- do you want me to go through any of
14 these exhibits real quick that are important?

15 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: No, I --

16 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I am going to --
17 can I zip down a list of things that are --

18 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Yeah. Let me
19 just point out that I have looked at -- and
20 during the hearing the -- both sides's exhibits
21 were made available on FINRA's website so I can
22 have access to everything that both sides have
23 submitted now.

24 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Great. So I don't

1 need to show the account documentation opening
2 that it stated 1.25. I don't need to do the
3 beneficiary, which is -- I don't need to do
4 the -- I did the fee scheduling thing. I don't
5 need to show Nick's letters, which are in the
6 motions and is in there. That you got.

7 The e-mail from Patrick Wade,
8 that's just damning evidence against them. You
9 can't sidestep that.

10 Hearing conference notes.
11 Again, it is a touchy subject, so I'm going to
12 let you just read that in private.

13 Client activity log --
14 (unintelligible) we already addressed that.
15 Administrative costs I addressed. Expert witness
16 invoices, we just addressed.

17 Yeah, I know.

18 In summary, I just want to say,
19 shame on you, Mr. Hymen. And my dad thought you
20 were his friend, and you harmed our -- you stole
21 from our family. And you need to be held
22 accountable. And it is a sad thing -- I'm a
23 happy person. I am not -- I'm normally never
24 like this, your Honor. I don't get mean. I live

1 a --

2 MS. TEPPER: Just --

3 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I'm sorry.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. It is
5 not about you.

6 (Simultaneous speakers.)

7 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Not about your
8 personality, at least.

9 Okay. Ms. Tepper, do
10 you -- does that conclude your case, other than a
11 rebuttal and closing argument, or do you have
12 further evidence or testimony?

13 MS. TEPPER: Other than a rebuttal,
14 that's it. We are looking forward to hearing the
15 rest.

16 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Thank
17 you.

18 All right then. We have been
19 here for a little over two hours. So let's take
20 a quick break. And then -- let's just take about
21 a five-minute break, unless you need a little
22 more, Mr. McGuire. And then we'll get into the
23 respondent's case, and we'll get going. Okay?

24 MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you.

1 (Brief recess.)

2 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Thank you.

3 Welcome back.

4 Mr. McGuire, it is your turn. And I
5 just -- it looks like people -- other people are
6 muting themselves, so that's good. So you can
7 begin.

8 MR. MCGUIRE: Great. Thanks,
9 Mr. Ordower. Can we ask Mr. Hymen to unmute
10 himself. And if we could swear him in, please.

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Mr. Hymen,
12 would you raise your right hand, please.

13 (Witness sworn.)

14 EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. MCGUIRE:

16 Q. Jerald, would you tell us a little bit
17 about your educational background?

18 A. Sure. I was born and raised in Chicago
19 and went to elementary school on the South Side of
20 Chicago, Burnham Elementary School, at 95th and
21 Jeffrey.

22 My family then moved out to
23 Munster, Indiana. I went to Munster High School right
24 across the border, next to Hammond and Gary. I

1 graduated from there in 1975.

2 And from there I went down to
3 college in Bloomington, Indiana at IU, Indiana
4 University. And I graduated there in had four years
5 in 1979 with a major in journalism and a minor in
6 business.

7 Q. Thank you.

8 MR. McGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, are you
9 having any difficulty hearing Mr. Hymen? He's a
10 little muffled on my end.

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: No, I can hear
12 him fine.

13 MR. McGUIRE: Great. Thank you.

14 BY MR. McGUIRE:

15 Q. Thank you, Mr. Hymen. Can you tell us
16 about your experience in the securities industry?

17 A. Sure. This is my 40th year in the
18 brokerage business. I began in the brokerage business
19 in 1985 in Oak Brook, Illinois, with a firm called
20 Blunt Ellis & Loewi. Blunt Ellis & Loewi was a
21 regional brokerage firm owned by Kemper Insurance.
22 They changed name several times. I worked there for
23 approximately nine years until 1994.

24 In 1994 I went to Paine Weber in

1 Schaumburg, Illinois. I worked there for about six
2 years.

3 After that I worked at Morgan Stanley
4 from 2000 to 2005.

5 And after 2005 or in the fall of 2005
6 I came (unintelligible) in Chicago.

7 Q. Thank you. Could you tell me a little bit
8 about your experience at Oppenheimer? Have you
9 received any honorary -- any honorariums while you
10 have been here?

11 A. Yes. I have consistently been in what's
12 called the executive council firm, which is a group in
13 the top 80 advisors of the firm nationwide. That's
14 under 10 percent of the firm nationwide. And I am
15 given that award, that prestige, with plaques in my
16 office as a result of growth production from the term
17 and assets under management.

18 Q. And that's been for about eight years?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. Thank you.

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. Can I ask you how old you are?

23 A. Sure. I'm 67.

24 Q. Thank you. And could you tell me what

1 licenses you hold?

2 A. I hold a Series 7 license, which is a
3 regular general brokerage business. I hold a Series
4 63 license because of -- it allows me to do business
5 in other states other than Illinois. I do business in
6 about 25 other states.

7 I also hold a Series 65 license
8 in Illinois which allows me to do -- manage money fee
9 business at Oppenheimer (unintelligible) any brokerage
10 firm.

11 Q. So would you -- for the benefit of
12 Mr. Ordower, would you tell us the difference between
13 transactional business and managed business?

14 A. Sure. The traditional brokerage account
15 that Mr. Ordower could set up with Jerald Hymen at
16 Oppenheimer or any investor is where you and I meet,
17 we agree on what your objectives are or what your
18 long-term goals are, what your plan is long term. I
19 can set up a brokerage account for you and charge you
20 on a commission basis. And that simply means that
21 I -- I have to call you every time I have a buy or
22 sell recommendation or I have a research update, I
23 have to -- you know, I have to disclose that to you,
24 et cetera, and (unintelligible) I am compensated with

1 commissions, and that's how the account is run.

2 I prefer another way of doing
3 business, which I think is more transparent, and it is
4 called discretionary fee based. Discretionary fee
5 based. And the difference there is that you set up an
6 account with me. And same thing, I have a meeting
7 with you. I have a fiduciary responsibility while I'm
8 doing my best and recommending assets and securities
9 that meet your goals and objectives. And for that I
10 am paid a management fee that's selected by the firm.

11 I have discretion. I don't have
12 to call you on any buy or sell transactions. Frankly,
13 I don't have to call you ever. But as you will learn
14 today, there was always, always, always somebody at
15 Oppenheimer looking over my shoulder.

16 Q. Have you ever been compensated by a bonus
17 paid by a client?

18 A. Never. I don't know where that term came
19 from in previous testimony today. I will absolutely
20 positively refute that. There was never any assertion
21 of a bonus paid. I will get back to that later with
22 respect to the estate death (unintelligible) bonds.

23 Q. Thank you. And can you tell us how you
24 first met Lawrence Weiner?

1 A. One more time, Jack.

2 Q. I'm sorry. Can you tell us about the
3 first time -- how you first met Mr. Weiner?

4 A. I met Mr. Weiner in 2016 originally. He
5 and I were commuters by train from the Barrington,
6 Illinois train station. We had a couple of things in
7 common right off the bat. We were both Jewish, and we
8 were both avid baseball fans. He was a
9 devout -- devoted Chicago Cubs fan, I was a devoted
10 Chicago White Sox fan. And then the subject came up
11 that one of his best friends in the law firm of Laner
12 & Muchin was Jerry Reinsdorf, who is owner of the
13 Chicago Bulls and the Chicago White Sox.

14 Q. And when you first met Mr. Weiner, was he
15 still gainfully employed?

16 A. Yes, he was.

17 Q. What was his employment?

18 A. His employment, he was an attorney. He
19 was a lobbying attorney for the firm of Laner &
20 Muchin.

21 Q. Did you say lobbying attorney?

22 A. Lobbying attorney, right. He was a
23 lobbyist. Lobbying attorney.

24 Q. All right. When you first met him,

1 what -- how would you describe his general health?

2 A. I would describe his general health as
3 good back in 2016. He had told me that he was
4 planning to retire in 2018, and he did. And his
5 health seemed to be fine.

6 Q. Did there come a time when he established
7 an account relationship with you?

8 A. He established an account relationship in
9 2018. Before he did that, I told him my -- I told
10 him -- he explained to me that he had a Morgan Stanley
11 401(k) account littered with mutual funds and it
12 wasn't doing very good. And he wondered, I'm looking
13 for someone, I'm looking for an advisor who can roll
14 over these assets to an IRA and help provide me the
15 income and the growth that I need for retirement.

16 So I said, that's fine. The best
17 way to help me is send -- give me a statement. He
18 sent me a statement. He emailed me a statement. He
19 gave me on the train to review. I took about two
20 weeks to review it. I came back to him and said, this
21 is a horrible portfolio. This has no potential. And
22 I backed it up with Morningstar ratings at his mutual
23 funds.

24 Q. Thank you.

1 MR. McGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, I don't
2 know if it needs to be said, but Mr. Hymen is
3 referring to me as Jack. That's my nickname. So
4 while my screen says John, I go by Jack, just so
5 it is clear.

6 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I will
7 continue to call you, Mr. McGuire. But I
8 appreciate that.

9 MR. McGUIRE: Thank you, sir. It is
10 very, very kind. Thank you.

11 I'm going to -- if we could,
12 Mr. Ordower, I'm going to ask if you could pull
13 up -- or maybe it would be best if I have Alexa
14 pull up Oppenheimer's exhibits and we can go
15 through some of them so we can all refer to them.

16 Can you do that, Alexa?

17 Excellent.

18 Mr. Weiner, can you see that on
19 your screen?

20 BY MR. McGUIRE:

21 Q. I'm sorry, Mr. Hymen, can you see it on
22 your screen?

23 A. Yes, I can.

24 Q. All right. Let's look at Respondent's

1 Exhibit 1, pages 0001 through 0046.

2 Do you recognize those documents?

3 A. Yes, I do.

4 Q. And what are they?

5 A. They are the Oppenheimer rules with
6 respect to fiduciary responsibility for a brokerage
7 account.

8 Q. And I'll represent to you that in
9 Respondent's Exhibit 1, those procedures have been
10 updated approximately eight times between February
11 2018 and January 2024. Would you accept that
12 representation?

13 A. Yes, I would.

14 Q. Thank you. Have you been trained on these
15 procedures?

16 A. I have received thorough training from
17 Oppenheimer for how to deal with elderly clients, how
18 to deal with their responsibilities. So yes, I could
19 say I'm very, very adequately trained.

20 Q. Let's look at Respondent's Exhibit 2,
21 which is a spreadsheet of your training from -- well,
22 the pages are 47 through 49. Would you look at those,
23 please?

24 A. Sure.

1 Q. And does this include all the continued
2 training you have had at Oppenheimer?

3 A. Yes, it does.

4 Q. And I'll represent to you it looks like
5 you have taken about 90 different courses in any
6 number of things, anti-money laundering, anti-
7 harassment training, asset management; is that
8 correct?

9 A. Yes, that's correct.

10 Q. And I'll represent to you that including
11 the annual compliance reminders, you have been trained
12 on the senior procedures one, two, three, four, five,
13 six times; is that correct?

14 A. Yes, that's correct.

15 Q. Let me ask you, in the -- in your career
16 at Oppenheimer, have you had instances where you have
17 had to reach out to family members because you were
18 concerned about the mental capacity of one of your
19 clients?

20 A. Yes, I have.

21 Q. And would you tell Mr. Ordower what a
22 trusted contact is, please?

23 A. Sure. So if I am dealing, for example,
24 with a client like Mr. Jones, as just a hypothetical

1 example, and I'm talking to him and I notice he's
2 stuttering, he's not remembering, he doesn't
3 understand money, he doesn't understand rates, if I
4 ever, ever, ever, ever smell any of that, I am
5 required to request to the client that I need a
6 trusted contact to this account. That may be a wife,
7 that may be a daughter, that may be a son, that may be
8 a neighbor. So I'm required to do that.

9 In Mr. Weiner's case, I asked him
10 to -- I would like to add a trusted contact to his
11 accounts, and he declined.

12 Q. Thank you. We're jumping ahead a little
13 bit. But did you ever have any concerns about the
14 cognitive ability of Mr. Weiner while you were
15 servicing him as his account executive?

16 A. I generally had in (unintelligible) no
17 concerns regarding his ability to absorb information
18 from me. And that is also based on emails, that's
19 based on correspondence that I had with him, that's
20 based on conversations that I had with him. And I did
21 not mention or I did not notice any severe or rapid
22 decline.

23 Can I mention the fact that -- and I
24 think it is extremely important to point out,

1 Mr. Ordower, that these were discretionary fee-based
2 accounts. He put his trust and confidence in me to
3 handle his financial affairs.

4 Q. Thank you. And have -- that leads into my
5 next question. How generally would you describe your
6 relationship with Mr. Weiner?

7 A. I would describe -- I would describe my
8 relationship with Mr. Weiner very good, very positive,
9 very professional. He liked me. I liked him. He
10 went out of his way for me to give my law -- my son
11 who was taking the Illinois Bar Exam some advice for
12 law school in taking the bar. He went out of his way
13 to refer my name to Jerry Reinsdorf to help with
14 financing a stadium in 2023.

15 Q. Could I ask you to look at Respondent's
16 Exhibit 4, pages 77 through 91.

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. And do you recognize those?

19 A. I sure do.

20 Q. What are they?

21 A. That's the most important document on file
22 at Oppenheimer. It is called a new account
23 application for regulations, KYC, know your customer.

24 Q. Thank you. And is it fair to say that

1 Mr. Weiner was interested in generating income from
2 his retirement fund?

3 A. Yes, he was.

4 Q. Why would that be?

5 A. Because other than Social Security, he was
6 looking for additional income to meet his investment
7 goals and objectives.

8 Q. And did he disclose to you his annual
9 income and his liquid net worth and his total net
10 worth --

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. -- in 2018?

13 A. Yes. It is on the KYC form. Of his
14 annual income of \$150,000, his liquid net worth of
15 around 400, and his total net worth was listed as 300.

16 Q. Had he had prior investment experience in
17 equities and mutual funds?

18 A. He had had prior experience in mutual
19 funds. And I stated the money came from that Morgan
20 Stanley 401(k) plan, which he got (unintelligible).
21 And I said, (unintelligible) it is 25 years of
22 experience in equities and mutual funds and 25 years
23 in earning bonds.

24 Q. And he had experience with managed

1 accounts, such as the account we have been referring
2 to or the accounts we have been referring to. Isn't
3 that correct?

4 A. No. To my knowledge he did not have any
5 experience at all in managed money.

6 Q. Great. Thank you. Would you describe for
7 Mr. Ordower what the requirement -- required minimum
8 distribution is from retirement accounts?

9 A. Sure. When a person, under the tax law
10 when Lawre Weiner was alive, the law states that if
11 you're over 70 and a half in this country, you are
12 required to take a minimum distribution out of your
13 IRA account. He had three IRA accounts. For
14 (unintelligible) purposes, we combined it into one. I
15 believe the figure for Lawre Weiner was somewhere
16 between 60 and 80 thousand dollars a year he was
17 required to take out.

18 I strongly encouraged him to
19 spread it out over the year on a quarterly basis.
20 Don't go draining the account of \$81,000 tomorrow
21 morning because that's going to hurt your performance
22 and that's going to hurt your ability to make more
23 money.

24 He took my recommendation, and that's

1 why when you see the exhibit here today, you'll
2 understand.

3 But the law has now been changed. You
4 have to be 72 and a half. The law, when he was alive,
5 it was always over 70 and a half, and he was over 70
6 and a half.

7 Q. Thank you. Let's go to Respondent Exhibit
8 7, which is going to be page 130. And do you
9 recognize that Mr. Weiner -- I'm sorry, Mr. Hymen?

10 A. Yes, I do recognize that. The document
11 that you are looking at is a total list of his
12 required (unintelligible) distributions that were
13 withdrawn from Oppenheimer from 2019 through 2023. We
14 took out RMDs approximately 25 times. We had a system
15 set up for him very easily. He did really not have to
16 give a lot of (unintelligible). He would call me up,
17 as you can see -- took his first distribution in
18 December of 2019 -- I'm sorry. Took it in September
19 of '19. He withdrew \$13,000.

20 And so we had a system for him
21 where we would withhold a certain amount of federal
22 taxes, we'll call it 25 percent. Net in 13 grand, and
23 we would just send him 13 grand.

24 You'll see there, there is three

1 documents where we sent him a check to his house.
2 After that we set a system called ACH where the money
3 was directly deposited to his JPMorgan Chase Manhattan
4 bank account in Lake Zurich, Illinois.

5 Q. Thank you.

6 MR. McGUIRE: Alexa, we're going to
7 switch screens now so we can get to Respondent's
8 Exhibit 10. And this is an Excel spreadsheet for
9 the benefit of everybody on the Zoom.

10 It could not be included in the
11 exhibits, but it has information that's been
12 previously provided to claimant.

13 Great. Thank you.

14 BY MR. McGUIRE:

15 Q. Mr. Hymen, do you recognize this document?

16 A. I do.

17 Q. What is it?

18 A. It is the number of times that Lawre
19 Weiner reported, 3,994 times went into the client
20 access account to view his statements.

21 MR. McGUIRE: Now if we could scroll
22 down, Alexa, to the December 2023.

23 BY MR. McGUIRE:

24 Q. I believe that's going to be line 3984, if

1 that's of any help.

2 So up to December 2023, are we
3 able to -- I think we could -- it is hard to see, but
4 how many times -- it is all the way in the left-hand
5 column. How many times did Mr. Weiner access his
6 account electronically to view the activity?

7 A. Looks like about 20 or 25.

8 Q. No. I'm sorry, it is all the way over on
9 the left-hand side.

10 A. Yeah, from Item Number 1985 to Item 4012.

11 Q. All right. Thank you.

12 MR. McGUIRE: Alexa, can you scroll
13 all the way to the bottom.

14 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I -- I'm
15 sorry, I just have a question. Can we back up to
16 the last screen for a second.

17 MR. McGUIRE: Sure. That's going to
18 be the --

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: 4084, yeah.
20 Yeah, one higher.

21 So if I -- help me to understand what
22 I am seeing here. On Column C, that all has the
23 same date of December 28th. Does that mean that
24 all these -- all these entrances into the account

1 were made on the same day or is it -- is that
2 column something else?

3 MR. MCGUIRE: That will indicate on
4 that date the accounts were accessed through
5 Oppenheimer's electronic system that many times
6 for somebody to review the portfolios. And this
7 would have been after Mr. --

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: So this is
9 all -- oh, this is after he passed.

10 MR. MCGUIRE: Yes.

11 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. This is
12 like 25 times it was looked at on the same --

13 MR. MCGUIRE: On that day.

14 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

15 MR. MCGUIRE: And I think we heard
16 testimony from Mr. Weiner's daughter that she had
17 his online access, would help him access. So it
18 would seem to logically conclude that after he
19 passed they would -- they were looking at the
20 accounts.

21 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. So this is
22 not Mr. Weiner -- this is not Lawrence Weiner's
23 review because he was deceased at this point.

24 MR. MCGUIRE: No. And that's one of

1 the issues, I think, we spoke about during my
2 opening, about having to deduce what happened
3 from the evidence we actually have.

4 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

5 MR. McGUIRE: Could you scroll all the
6 way down, Alexa, to the last entry.

7 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I would just like
8 to object for one second, your Honor. Can I
9 speak for one second?

10 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Yes.

11 MR. TEPPER WEINER: My father was
12 passing away in critical care. There is no way
13 that we accessed this account at that time. This
14 was the furthest thing from our mind. When my
15 father was passing, we weren't thinking about
16 finances, we were thinking about him.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
18 right. Thank you.

19 MS. TEPPER: December 28th too
20 (unintelligible). Okay. That's when Jerry came
21 to the house.

22 MR. McGUIRE: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
23 You can --

24 MR. McGUIRE: If he could,

1 Mr. Ordower, the death certificate that has been
2 admitted shows date of death was December 21,
3 2021.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

5 MR. McGUIRE: I'm sorry. 2023. I
6 apologize.

7 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

8 BY MR. McGUIRE:

9 Q. And if we could, Mr. Hymen, if you can see
10 the last entry and see the total number of times the
11 accounts were accessed either by Mr. Weiner or others,
12 all the way on the left-hand side.

13 A. 6,183.

14 Q. And would you accept my representation
15 that after Mr. Weiner passed away, the accounts were
16 accessed 3,984 times?

17 A. Yes, I would accept that.

18 Q. Great. Thank you.

19 MR. McGUIRE: We can take this down
20 now, Alexa.

21 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I object. I
22 object. There is no way that we did access that
23 3,000 times. It is an impossibility --

24 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: I understand that

1 that's --

2 MS. TEPPER: Yeah.

3 MR. TEPPER WEINER: It is a
4 fabrication.

5 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

6 MR. MCGUIRE: The document speaks for
7 itself, Mr. Ordower.

8 Now Mr. Ordower, I'm going to
9 explain a little bit what we did with
10 Respondent's Exhibit 11.

11 And if we could go back, Alexa --

12 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I guess, I am
13 curious about what the significance of this
14 information is. I mean, I assume you agree with
15 me that the primary issue here is what was
16 charged during Mr. Weiner's lifetime. And so
17 what does it matter how many times it was
18 examined after he died?

19 MR. MCGUIRE: I would address that in
20 my summation about why we are even here today.
21 But -- and I would prefer to answer that during
22 summation.

23 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. That's
24 fine. That's fine.

1 MR. McGUIRE: But I think your point
2 is very well taken. But, of course, as I said
3 earlier, we're trying to determine from the
4 evidence the -- what Mr. Hymen knew about the
5 cognitive ability of Mr. Weiner.

6 And we -- what I am trying to
7 show is the evidence shows, despite the report
8 from the physical therapist, despite the report
9 from the doctor, that in fact he was competent in
10 accessing and paying attention to financial
11 information.

12 That leads into what we're doing
13 with Exhibit 11. If I could explain a little bit
14 what we tried to do with Exhibit 11.

15 Could we get to that, Alexa,
16 please? Thank you.

17 Let's just go to the first page
18 of Exhibit 11. So what we have done here,
19 Mr. Ordower, is take the document that was
20 produced by the physical therapist, which shows
21 and lists how many times Mr. Weiner went for
22 boxing training -- and if I am misstating exactly
23 what was going on -- the physical training. We
24 have highlighted a comparison of this list with

1 Exhibit 10, which was the online access. So that
2 when you see a yellow highlight, every time that
3 appears -- could we possibly blow this up a
4 little bit, Alexa? Thank you.

5 Every time the yellow appears,
6 that means that on that date that he has physical
7 training, he also accessed his Oppenheimer
8 accounts. And I'll represent to you, I believe
9 that it might be at least 90 times during the
10 time he had physical training he was also
11 accessing his accounts.

12 And again, Mr. Ordower, this goes
13 to the issue of us trying to determine, since we
14 can't cross examine the doctor, we can't cross
15 examine the physical trainer, what we can
16 determine about his ability -- his being
17 Weiner's -- ability to understand what's going on
18 in his financial world.

19 Now if we could, as an example,
20 the remainder of Respondent's Exhibit 11
21 are -- I'll represent to you well over a hundred
22 emails. And these are emails that are close to
23 contemporaneous with the dates Mr. Weiner was
24 having his physical training and accessing his

1 accounts.

2 So as an example, if we could go
3 to page 190.

4 BY MR. MCGUIRE:

5 Q. Do you see that, Mr. Hymen?

6 A. Sure.

7 Q. And what is it?

8 A. This is an email that I sent to Lawre
9 dated March 19th of 2020. He wrote me back that he
10 will need some cash at the end of April and June. But
11 he would like to buy \$15,000 of Amazon. I do get
12 client inquiries from time to time. So what he was
13 saying, I wrote him back in saying he has money -- he
14 has cash available to do that but that's because he
15 just had a government agency bond call, and we were
16 hoping to replace it so that he could maintain his
17 level. I would not -- recommend not withdrawing
18 anything at this time, and we'll be in touch.

19 Q. So this would be approximately nine months
20 before he agreed to the management fee increase; isn't
21 that correct?

22 A. Yes, it is.

23 Q. Did you have any concerns about his
24 cognitive ability in March of 2020.

1 A. I had -- in March of 2020, I had no
2 concerns that he was not capable of making decisions.
3 Although basically he wanted to ask to buy some stock.
4 I told him to hold on to the money to buy a bond. He
5 seemed to understand that. And again I saw no signs
6 of any mental impairment.

7 Q. Let's look at the next page, Page Number
8 191. And do you recognize this?

9 A. Yeah. Jerry, please send me the chart
10 again so I can forward them to Jim's TX, Lawre. Looks
11 like I was either too late or too early on gold. I
12 think we should consider cashing out of gold and
13 buying more Abbott. But I defer to your better
14 judgment. My best, Lawre.

15 Q. And this would be approximately six months
16 before he agreed to the fee increase; isn't that
17 correct?

18 A. Yes, that's correct.

19 Q. And this is Mr. Weiner contacting you
20 unsolicited; isn't that correct?

21 A. That is correct.

22 Q. And what -- tell us if you could, put this
23 in context a little bit. What is Mr. Weiner asking
24 you?

1 A. Well, he's asking me to send me a chart.
2 A chart is a chart electronically that I could show
3 him the movement of gold, to show him the movement of
4 IBM stock or any security.

5 So I am not familiar -- I don't
6 recall at all sending them to Jims, J-I-M-S, Texas. I
7 don't recall who that might be.

8 Q. Okay. Let's look at the next page, 192
9 and 193.

10 A. Sure.

11 Q. And do you recognize these documents?

12 A. Sure, I do. They were emails, email
13 correspondence. He was asking my opinion -- he is
14 asking my opinion about Abbott and, you know, whatever
15 he decides is okay with me.

16 It all goes back to what I told
17 you all along, these are discretionary fee-based
18 accounts. I make the final decisions on buys and
19 sells or what securities to hold based on his
20 objectives.

21 Q. Let's go to page 194, please.

22 MR. McGUIRE: Alexa, I'm going to ask
23 you to blow this up a little bit. Thank you.

24 BY MR. McGUIRE:

1 Q. And what's the date of this document?

2 A. The date of this document is January of
3 2021. And it seems like this is the -- we start out
4 the year in January, the January 1st, of course. And
5 this is just (unintelligible) situation where he's
6 going to take out required minimum distributions in
7 2021. And he's going to take (unintelligible)
8 understanding that. And he refers to the word X
9 percentages in the email, less the percentage of
10 withholding (unintelligible) permission to withhold on
11 an R&B.

12 Q. Now I'm going to represent to you that
13 based on the documents that we're going to address in
14 a moment, that the -- the fee increase letter signed
15 by Mr. Weiner is dated January 12, 2021; is that
16 correct?

17 A. Yes, it is.

18 Q. So this would be five days before that
19 letter was signed; isn't that correct?

20 A. Yes, it is.

21 Q. All right. Did you have any concerns
22 about his cognitive ability when you saw this email on
23 January 7, 2021?

24 A. I did not have any concerns about his

1 mental ability, his mental acuity.

2 Please understand, besides this email,
3 I'm sure that I had a phone conversation with him.
4 And I did not detect any dramatic change in his
5 physical makeup.

6 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: I'd like to ask a
7 couple questions.

8 MR. MCGUIRE: Okay. Thank you.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Did
10 you -- Mr. Hymen, did you ever discuss with
11 Lawrence the major physical issues that he was
12 experiencing. Did -- like did he ever tell you
13 that he had Parkinson's?

14 THE WITNESS: He did tell me that he
15 had Parkinson's disease, and that's exactly why
16 you'll see in the later correspondence in the
17 file here today he had -- he had interest in a
18 company called DSKNY, AstraZeneca. They were in
19 the business of manufacturing drugs to treat
20 Parkinson's clients.

21 He bought that stock with me in
22 his account at Oppenheimer. So he had an
23 interest in what was happening as far as
24 treatments were concerned. The shares were

1 purchased on an unsolicited basis. And it was
2 his idea, not mine. I had never heard of the
3 company.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And
5 approximately when was that -- when did you learn
6 that he had Parkinson's?

7 THE WITNESS: I learned that he had
8 Parkinson's later on in -- later on in 2021.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And did
10 you also learn at some point that he had cancer?

11 THE WITNESS: I learned that he had
12 cancer in the summer of 2023.

13 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

14 THE WITNESS: Let me -- can I make a
15 comment on that, please?

16 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Actually, yes,
17 but I -- what I just -- did you -- when you knew
18 that he had Parkinson's, I mean, did you talk
19 about that or did you just like, okay, sorry to
20 hear that, and move on?

21 THE WITNESS: No, we did talk about
22 it. He told me his boxing lessons that he was
23 taking. He told me about, you know, other
24 activities that he was doing as far as physical

1 therapy is concerned. He told me about some of
2 the pharmaceutical drugs that he was taking to
3 treat Parkinson's. So yes, we did talk about it
4 and --

5 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. So let
6 me ask this. You're -- you -- your counsel
7 earlier went through the fact that you had some
8 training at the firm in dealing with senior and
9 elderly people and I think also possibly people
10 who might have some diminished mental capacities.

11 What is your understanding of
12 like what would -- what would trigger for you a
13 duty to look into some -- taking some extra
14 precautions or protections for someone who might
15 be suffering a diminished mental capacity?
16 What's your own understanding of what would
17 trigger that?

18 THE WITNESS: My understanding, and I
19 am trained, and I made this statement earlier
20 today and I'll repeat again, my understanding is
21 that (unintelligible) whatever I know as
22 diminished capacity on any client's behalf, okay,
23 whether it is a discretionary account or a
24 regular commission account, I am trained to

1 notify branch management. I am trained to -- I
2 am trained to ask the person, whoever, in this
3 case Lawre, is there somebody else that I can add
4 to the account as a trusted contact. So I'm
5 trained to do that. And in some cases I have
6 done that over the years.

7 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And what --
8 what indicated to you -- I assume did -- I mean,
9 did you consider doing that in the case of
10 Mr. Weiner?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. Yes, I did.
12 I asked him for a trusted contact in his
13 accounts, and he declined.

14 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: He declined?
15 When was that?

16 THE WITNESS: Some time in perhaps
17 2022.

18 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And why did
19 you ask him for a trusted account person?

20 THE WITNESS: I just -- I had -- I had
21 met with him, he and his wife Terri, in 2021, had
22 a face-to-face meeting with them in their house
23 in North Barrington, Illinois. I distinctly
24 remember because they had a very, very large dog.

1 I didn't feel comfortable around large dogs. And
2 so, you know, I didn't -- I didn't -- she said to
3 me or they told me, of course, he has being
4 treated for Parkinson's, and I wanted to play it
5 safe.

6 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. So if
7 it concerned you enough that you were asking for
8 a trusted contact, is there a protocol that
9 you're -- I mean, if someone refused -- or you
10 say he refused -- well, can you recite that
11 conversation in more detail to me?

12 THE WITNESS: Well, that conversation
13 on the phone, we had a statement in the file that
14 I had a meeting with him in September of 2021
15 face to face in his house in North Barrington,
16 Illinois. And at that meeting we discussed his
17 accounts, we discussed his investments, we
18 discussed the total RMDs that he has taken out.
19 His wife was sitting there, Terri. She did not
20 ask one question. She did not make one comment.
21 She was riding the gravy train because he was
22 making a lot of money with me, and that's where
23 it sat.

24 She did ask me about my thoughts

1 on annuities. I told them there were two types,
2 deferred and immediate. But the conversation
3 didn't go anywhere from there.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. My
5 question though, I'm sorry, was about -- was
6 about your conversation with Mr. Weiner in which
7 you asked him about adding a trusted account and
8 he said no. I mean, can you give me a little bit
9 more of that conversation?

10 THE WITNESS: Sure, I can give you a
11 little bit more of that. He told me on the
12 phone, he said, we don't need to add a trusted
13 contact because I trust you. You have
14 discretionary full authority to act on my behalf
15 for my investment accounts, so I don't need a
16 trusted contact. It is you. I trust you.

17 And in the end, boy, did he make
18 the right decision.

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: But isn't the
20 purpose of a trusted account for someone other
21 than you? I mean, it -- like another family
22 member to --

23 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Another
24 family member, it could be a wife, a son, a

1 daughter, an uncle, whoever it may be. But he
2 saw the results of his accounts and evidently he
3 didn't want anybody to interfere with the success
4 that he was having.

5 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: So you -- it
6 would -- is it fair to say then that you agreed
7 at that point that he didn't really -- that
8 although he would raise the subject of a trusted
9 account, he said --

10 THE WITNESS: Trusted advisor.

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I'm sorry,
12 trusted advisor. That you basically agreed at
13 that point that he didn't really need one because
14 he had you?

15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, he had me. And
16 the fact, as I said, when we had that meeting
17 face to face in September of 2021 in his house,
18 he didn't show any mental issues, he didn't show
19 any slurred words, he didn't show any, you know,
20 issues of impairment, that I have seen over the
21 years with other elderly clients.

22 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And I
23 think you said that there is -- there is a memo
24 in the file in which you recite that

1 conversation, or no?

2 THE WITNESS: I don't think there is a
3 memo in the file. There is a memo in my notes,
4 but there is no memo in the file.

5 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And has
6 that note -- is that note part of the -- within
7 the exhibits?

8 MR. MCGUIRE: No, it is not.

9 THE WITNESS: No.

10 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: No.

11 MR. MCGUIRE: (Unintelligible) about
12 that, Mr. Ordower, for the first time.

13 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

14 MR. MCGUIRE: Obviously if we knew
15 about it, I would produce it because it supports
16 the -- our defense.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

18 And just -- Mr. Hymen, in the
19 training that you received from Oppenheimer,
20 if -- if you are concerned enough to raise the
21 issue of a trusted advisor and someone says, no,
22 I don't need that, is there anything in the
23 Oppenheimer's sort of protocol or training
24 suggestions about now that you have raised the

1 issue and expressed that concern we can't just
2 leave it unmet?

3 Is there any kind of follow-up
4 that you are supposed to do?

5 MR. McGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, I can
6 represent to you about our policies. They don't
7 require any kind of follow-up. It is -- it is
8 voluntary on behalf of the client.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

10 MR. McGUIRE: I think that's the
11 industry. I am not testifying as an expert, but
12 I think that's the industry standard.

13 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

14 MR. McGUIRE: You are offered the
15 opportunity -- and then I think that's true under
16 the Federal Rules -- you're offered the
17 opportunity. If the client declines, he
18 declines.

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And I
20 assume, Mr. Hymen, that that's your understanding
21 also then?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's my
23 understanding as well.

24 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Let me

1 just see if I have any more questions on that.

2 THE WITNESS: I want to clarify the
3 words. I meant "trusted contact" not "trusted
4 account."

5 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Yeah,
6 yeah, yeah. That makes more sense. I appreciate
7 that.

8 Okay. And I guess the other
9 question I have is we have all heard today
10 testimony about how Mr. Weiner's cognitive
11 abilities declined over time. And obviously
12 you're not privy to like the physical
13 examinations that some witnesses were.

14 But did you notice in your own
15 mind any decline in cognitive ability between
16 2020 and 2023?

17 THE WITNESS: I have an email that's
18 part of our documentation today which is a
19 voicemail from him to Oppenheimer, to me. I
20 think it is dated September of 2023. You'll see
21 it in here today. If you don't see it, it is in
22 your exhibit. It is an email from Lawre Weiner
23 to me. Hi, Jerald, this is Lawre. Just calling
24 to say hello. Want to touch base with you about

1 a few things. Nothing special. Won't take a
2 long time. As always, I thank you for standing
3 by me.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

5 BY MR. MCGUIRE:

6 Q. But I don't think -- if I could,
7 Mr. Ordower -- Mr. Hymen, I don't think that answers
8 specifically Mr. Ordower's question. From 2020
9 through 2023, did you see any cognitive decline? And
10 if you did, as best as you can estimate what time
11 period you thought that there was cognitive decline
12 from 2020 to 2023, if there was that you noticed.

13 A. Yeah. If there -- from 2000 to 2023,
14 again I had a meeting with him personally in 2021. I
15 did not notice any significant decline in mental
16 acuity. Again I saw him face to face.

17 And after that meeting in
18 September of 2021 up until the day he died in December
19 of 2023, no, I did not recognize any drop in mental
20 abilities.

21 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Was
22 there a change in the frequency of your
23 communications with him?

24 THE WITNESS: Not really. I would

1 communicate with him by email so he could see
2 things in writing. Again, these were
3 discretionary fee-based accounts. I was not
4 required to call him to discuss the performance,
5 management fees of the portfolio. That's not
6 what I do. What I do is I take control of his
7 money and help make it grow.

8 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. I have
9 one other -- one or two other questions, and then
10 I'll let Mr. McGuire get back to his agenda. But
11 I know we're going to get into the raise of the
12 fees.

13 THE WITNESS: Sure.

14 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And my
15 questions -- a couple questions. Number one, why
16 did you raise the fees at that time; and number
17 two, why did you raise the fees at that time in
18 the particular case of Mr. Weiner? Is that
19 something that was going on across the board with
20 all your clients or was there something
21 particular to his account that brought that
22 about?

23 THE WITNESS: Sure. I'll be happy to
24 answer that. So in the -- in January of 2021, we

1 had just come out of COVID, and his account had
2 recovered dramatically in value, as other clients
3 did. You know what happened during COVID,
4 clients were crushing.

5 His accounts came back very
6 strong. And he came to me and said Jerald, I
7 want you to know I like what you're doing for me,
8 I trust you, I respect you, but you need to know
9 that I am, you know, elder- -- I am an older
10 gentleman, and you need to know that I cannot
11 afford the luxury of sitting around and waiting
12 for the market perhaps to drop again, like it
13 just did in March of 2020, and wait for the
14 market to recover. I don't have time for that.
15 So what do you recommend?

16 And I said -- so it was client
17 driven. Let me repeat that not 10 times, just
18 one more time. It was client driven. And I said
19 to him, the best way to reduce volatility and the
20 best way to help produce better returns, safer
21 returns for you, was to add more fixed income
22 positions to your portfolio. And in order to do
23 that we would need to raise your fee from 1 and a
24 quarter to 1.95 percent.

1 And we did that, raised that fee
2 because I had to do more research on more fixed
3 income positions. I had to do more research on
4 equities with paying higher dividends, which
5 smooth out volatility. And of course, I also did
6 more covered calls for him, which is a
7 conservative investment strategy, been around
8 since the '70s.

9 So all three of those combined,
10 fixed income, equities, and other calls. And
11 that was the justification for raising the fee to
12 help him maintain the income that he wanted and
13 (unintelligible) out volatility against any
14 severe declines in the market.

15 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: In that time
16 frame, did you similarly raise fees for other of
17 your clients?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes. Let me continue
19 with respect to Mr. Weiner. I said to him, all I
20 need you to do is sign a simple form to raise
21 your fee from 1 and a quarter to 1.95 percent on
22 two of his IRAs, his discretionary fee-based
23 IRAs, not the third IRA, which was a preference
24 account. That's just -- that's just a fee-based

1 account.

2 So I raised his fee. He said,
3 send me the letter. I sent him the letter. He
4 signed it and sent it back.

5 Let me answer your other question
6 now. The IRAs's fees on other clients's accounts
7 during that period, as a matter of fact, I did, I
8 raised fees in at least five or six other
9 accounts, similarly types of Omega discretionary
10 fee-based accounts. I had done more research for
11 these people, more fixed income, more covered
12 calls, and felt the increase was necessary.

13 And while we're on the subject,
14 Mr. Ordower, some of those fees were 2 and a
15 quarter percent, 2 and a half percent. I went as
16 high as -- I was able to go as high as 2.95
17 percent because Oppenheimer allows the max of 3
18 percent.

19 In addition, you should know that
20 discretionary fee-based managed accounts at our
21 competitors, UBS, Morgan Stanley, Smith Barney,
22 they are all 2 and a quarter to 2 and a half
23 percent.

24 So when the other party claims

1 they were paying 50 percent more, actually I
2 would beg to differ, they were paying less than
3 the industry market average.

4 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: And when you
5 say -- well, when you were raising those fees,
6 including other clients, was that -- were -- were
7 you -- like was that your decision basically to
8 say, I want to raise it from 1.25 to 1.95 or was
9 it an Oppenheimer directive that, like, the next
10 level is this amount? You know, how did that
11 work?

12 THE WITNESS: Yeah. No, the
13 Oppenheimer -- the answer to that question is, is
14 that I -- I got the contacts at Oppenheimer's
15 Omega department and say here's the situation,
16 here's what the client is paying now, 1.65, 1.85.
17 They want more services. They want more
18 expertise from me. And the bottom line is
19 that -- what is a reasonable fee increase. So
20 the Omega department of Oppenheimer consulted
21 with me on what was the appropriate rates.

22 Let me point -- further point out
23 to you that those clients I also raised in
24 2021 -- after COVID in 2021 and 2022,

1 Mr. Ordower, it is very, very, very important to
2 point out to you that those clients of mine have
3 been clients of mine for 30 to 40 years. That's
4 how long I have worked for these people because
5 they trust me, they respect me, and they know
6 that I have their best interests at heart. They
7 have worked with me through five different
8 recessions in this country. And you know what
9 those are like to portfolios. But I'm there for
10 them every step of the way.

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

12 THE WITNESS: You know what, you won't
13 hear one peep from any of those people ever.

14 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And
15 with respect to Mr. Weiner in particular, then in
16 the period following his agreement to the
17 increased fees, would the -- would your training
18 records for his account reflect the fact that you
19 were indeed doing more covered calls and more
20 fixed income --

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- prices?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes, it will.

24 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.

1 THE WITNESS: May I add something
2 significant?

3 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Yes, you may.
4 You may.

5 THE WITNESS: Good. Good. You'll
6 find in the record -- you'll find in the record
7 that I talked to Lawre specifically about -- I
8 didn't have to call him, but I wanted him to know
9 something about his accounts. And I called him
10 specifically about things that I offer called
11 estate (unintelligible) bonds. I did not know he
12 was dying in 2021 or 2022. Those bonds were from
13 Goldman Sachs, CitiGroup and -- Goldman Sachs,
14 CitiGroup and the third one -- the third one will
15 come back to my mind.

16 And so we bought those bonds in
17 around 81 or 82. And he was earning 5 and a half
18 percent interest on those bonds to wait.

19 And I told him then, just like I
20 told Terri and Jordan on the evening of January
21 4th when I was in their house, not December
22 28th -- they should go back and check their
23 records. I was there on January 4th, two days
24 before his funeral. And I told Lawre when he was

1 alive that if something happened to him, God
2 forbid, that his estate would get the
3 (unintelligible) the bonds at par. That's a 20
4 percent capital gain overnight.

5 For them to sit here on this
6 hearing today and say that I was at their house
7 offering them a bonus, that's just not true at
8 all. There is no bonus in securities business.
9 Okay?

10 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Totally object to
11 these lies.

12 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.
13 Thank you, Mr. --

14 THE WITNESS: Okay. The bottom line
15 is all three of these securities have estate
16 mandatory death (unintelligible) at par. And
17 they turned down -- they turned down cold a
18 \$30,000 profit overnight.

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
20 right. That is -- that satisfies the questions
21 that I -- at this point that I had. I'm sorry to
22 have hijacked so much of your examination,
23 Mr. McGuire, but hopefully that was --

24 MR. MCGUIRE: Actually --

1 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: -- relevant.

2 MR. McGUIRE: It is okay, Mr. Ordower.

3 And I greatly appreciate it.

4 BY MR. McGUIRE:

5 Q. So if we could, let's look at -- if we
6 could pull, Alexa, the exhibits back up. We're going
7 to go to Respondent's Exhibit 14, which I think,
8 Mr. Ordower, you have explored the issue of the
9 increase in the fees.

10 But let's look at the actual document
11 that authorized it. We're going to look at page 719.

12 A. Thank you. I am.

13 Q. And, Mr. Weiner [sic], do you recognize
14 this document?

15 I'm sorry, I apologize. Mr. Hymen, do
16 you --

17 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Yeah, I recognize
18 the document.

19 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: I think he
20 meant Mr. Hymen.

21 MR. McGUIRE: I do. I apologize.

22 BY MR. McGUIRE:

23 Q. Mr. Hymen, I was looking at the name
24 Weiner, so I apologize.

1 Mr. Hymen, do you recognize this
2 document?

3 A. Yes, I do.

4 Q. And what is it?

5 A. This is a letter that I sent to Lawre
6 Weiner in early January 2021 after our conversation
7 after he wanted to transfer assets in (unintelligible)
8 income and raising the fee. I told him on the phone
9 that I am sending him a letter. He said fine, send
10 it, I'll sign it, send it right back.

11 Q. Right. Did you have -- did he have any
12 subsequent conversation with you about this letter
13 after he signed it?

14 A. There were no subsequent conversations.
15 The only conversation that I have had I received -- I
16 may have told him by phone -- I did tell him by phone,
17 I did receive your letter on the fee increase, and per
18 your request we will be adding additional fixed income
19 securities to your account and raising the fee.

20 Q. Right. Let's look at the next page, 720.

21 A. You want me to explain?

22 Q. Yeah, would you please?

23 A. Sure. I have a -- this is a form -- this
24 is a copy of his statement ending 12/31 of '22, and it

1 is my initials JJH, and his initials on top. And I
2 just tell him on a yearly basis I like to send my
3 clients the review that I have reviewed their
4 accounts, I have reviewed their RMDs, I have reviewed
5 everything pertaining to (unintelligible) investment
6 accounts, and I would like you to sign it, send it
7 back, just like I did. He signed it, sent it back.

8 Q. Let's look at the next page, 721.

9 A. 721 this is a -- 9/28/21 was the date of
10 the meeting that I had with Terri and Lawre at their
11 house in North Barrington. The statement is dated
12 5/31/21 for the period ending -- I'm sorry, the
13 statement -- this is dated June 30, 2021. We had a
14 meeting three months later because I wanted to do a
15 review in person. And so he signed it, and that's
16 where we are.

17 Q. And you didn't notice any cognitive
18 decline certainly at this meeting or at the previous
19 meeting, did you?

20 A. I did not notice a cognitive decline.
21 Terri was present at the meeting. I did not notice
22 him slurring words. I did not notice him not
23 remembering who I am. He did not note -- say he
24 didn't indicate he didn't knew who Oppenheimer was.

1 No, I didn't notice anything.

2 Q. So let's go to page 732. And would you
3 identify this document for us, please?

4 A. I had learned a little earlier today
5 that's three months before he passed away. It is
6 dated September 7th of 2023. This is a voicemail from
7 his personal cell phone, 312-927-2674. He left a
8 message on my phone. Hey, Jerry, it is Lawre. I'm
9 just checking in. Give me a ring when you have some
10 time. And I need a couple of minutes, but not a very
11 long time, just a couple issue questions, more than,
12 you know -- more than looking forward to talking to
13 you. And always, thank you for continuing to
14 (unintelligible) bye-bye.

15 Q. Thank you.

16 A. So maybe the words on the voicemail are
17 not a hundred percent. You have to understand this
18 was a written transcript of a voicemail. So that's
19 where it is at.

20 Q. Yeah. Great. Thank you.

21 MR. MCGUIRE: I only have a little bit
22 more, I think, Mr. Ordower. I want to look at a
23 few more emails. Actually we're going to look at
24 Respondent's Exhibit 15, which are -- I

1 apologize, not 15.

2 Respondent's Exhibit 16, which
3 are emails with Jordan Weiner. Could we take a
4 look at that, please?

5 BY MR. MCGUIRE:

6 Q. Let's look at -- we're going to go back in
7 time a little bit. Let's look at 737. Do you
8 recognize that document?

9 A. I do.

10 Q. And what is it?

11 A. I told him -- I told Lawrence Weiner that
12 if he wanted to transfer his account to Morgan
13 Stanley, Morgan Stanley was requiring the most recent
14 statement of his investment account, 401(k) with the
15 mutual fund holdings.

16 Q. And this was -- well, it looks like
17 something was sent to you from Jordan Weiner; isn't
18 that correct?

19 A. Yes, it is.

20 Q. Do you know why it came from Jordan
21 Weiner?

22 A. My guess is, is that Lawre was not
23 computer literate enough to maybe handle it, so he
24 gave it to his son.

1 Q. Let's look at page 739. Do you recognize
2 this document?

3 A. Yes, I do. This is an email from Jordan
4 Weiner dated May 7, 2019. It was carbon copied to
5 Lawrence. He was sending me, and evidently Lawre
6 thought I could access his Morgan Stanley account with
7 login and password, and I was unable to do that.

8 Q. Great. But the import here is that
9 certainly Jordan Weiner knew how to contact you.

10 A. He did.

11 Q. Let's take a look at 751. And this is an
12 email where you get the Morgan Stanley consolidated
13 summary for Lawrence Weiner; isn't that correct?

14 A. Yes, it is.

15 Q. And it came from Jordan; isn't that
16 correct?

17 A. Yes, it is.

18 Q. Let's look at 760. Do you recognize that
19 document?

20 A. I do.

21 Q. What is it?

22 A. Back in 2020 Jordan Weiner referred me to
23 a friend of his, and a friend of Lawrence Weiner as
24 well. Her name was Karen Marsey (phonetic). And I

1 received her phone number. I received her email. I
2 reached out to her multiple times over a three- or
3 six-month period. And Jordan kept telling me by
4 phone, boy, she really wants to talk to you. Her
5 investments are messed up. She really could use your
6 help, but she hasn't filed her taxes for the last
7 three years.

8 So again, I tried over a three-
9 or six-month period. I left her voicemails. I sent
10 her a couple of emails and nothing ever came to
11 fruition.

12 Q. So the import of this document is Jordan
13 Weiner thought high enough -- highly enough of you to
14 refer you to a client or potential client; is that
15 correct?

16 A. That's correct. Yeah.

17 Q. Let's look at page 763. Do you recognize
18 these documents?

19 A. I sure do.

20 Q. What are they?

21 A. Basically the bottom line is, is that I
22 went to the house January 4th -- this is January 4th,
23 not December 28th as they said, not once but twice. I
24 went to the house January 4th. And that's when I told

1 Terri and Jordan that in order to transfer the three
2 IRAs to -- to Vanguard, I had to first transfer the
3 IRAs into Terri Tepper's name as a primary beneficiary
4 at Oppenheimer. So she agreed. She signed the forms.
5 And away I went.

6 I have a story to add to this at
7 some point, Mr. Ordower, if you need to hear it.

8 But let's just talk about this
9 email for a minute. And I went back to the office. I
10 believe it was January 5th. The funeral was on
11 January 6th at the Biltmore Country Club.

12 And I turned in the forms. Well,
13 I turned them in. We set up the accounts for Terri.
14 And again, the bottom line is, is that he sent a
15 threatening -- call threatening, you can call it
16 disturbing, however you want to interpret it, but this
17 is an email from Jordan saying, you know, that money
18 that mom is going to get from dad's IRA, she wanted to
19 buy Nvidia stock and it is skyrocketing today. It
20 cost her money. This is your fault. What's taking so
21 long?

22 Well, what's taking so long,
23 Mr. Ordower, is the fact that Vanguard did not have a
24 system to accept an account with covered calls. Hard

1 to believe in this day and age. They finally got
2 cleared up and the (unintelligible) got transferred.

3 Q. All right. Thank you.

4 We're going to go to Respondent's
5 17. And I'm going to look -- ask you to look at page
6 793. And this is an email from you to whom?

7 A. This is an email from me to Lawrence and
8 Terri.

9 Q. And what's the date?

10 A. On the date is October 18, 2021.

11 Q. Would you read the entry, please?

12 A. This is per your request from our meeting
13 recently.

14 Q. At this meeting was there any reference by
15 Ms. Tepper to Mr. Weiner's alleged cognitive decline?

16 A. No. In which I -- and may I add what the
17 substance is of this e-mail, please?

18 Q. Sure.

19 A. The bottom line is, is that Terri was
20 affiliated with a family investment club. I think
21 maybe with her brother in Colorado. And I had told
22 her about the success that our clients are having with
23 a portfolio that I managed called investing in the
24 Midwest. It is --

1 Q. So what --

2 A. So she said to me, she said to me, why
3 don't you send me your content holdings. I said okay,
4 I will.

5 Q. And is that on the next page 794?

6 A. Should be, yes. There it is.

7 Q. Very good. Thank you. Let's look at page
8 795. Would you tell us what that is, please?

9 A. Hi, Jerry. This is an email from Lawre
10 back in 2021. Our estate lawyer has asked to confirm
11 the IRAs have the beneficiaries as we designated as
12 follows: Please check, Lawre.

13 The answer was yes. It was set
14 up that way in 2018, 2019 with Terri Tepper, his wife
15 as primary beneficiary; his two kids, Nona and Jordan,
16 50/50 in the case that Terri and Lawre were to die
17 together.

18 Q. And the email is CC'd to TPT2118 at gmail.

19 A. That's right.

20 Q. Is that Ms. Tepper's email address?

21 A. That's Terri's.

22 Q. Thank you. Let's jump ahead to page 828.

23 (Phone ringing.)

24 BY MR. McGUIRE:

1 Q. And do you recognize that document?

2 MR. McGUIRE: Apologize for the
3 telephone.

4 BY THE WITNESS:

5 A. That's okay. This was an email from Terri
6 dated February (unintelligible) of 2023. Doctor said
7 that he is having significant cognitive decline since
8 the last appointment. She seemed to state to me
9 (unintelligible) hang on.

10 We switched his medication
11 around. (Unintelligible) all the way. So yeah,
12 that's where it is at.

13 Q. Is this the first time you learned of any
14 significant cognitive decline on behalf of Mr. Weiner?

15 A. Yes, it is.

16 Q. And to belabor the obvious, this is more
17 than two years after he agreed to the rate increase;
18 isn't that correct?

19 A. Yes, that's correct.

20 Can I make one comment?

21 Q. Sure.

22 A. In 40 years in the business, I have found
23 over the years, Mr. Ordower, that many times in our
24 business clients are sometimes reluctant to let you

1 know about illnesses. They keep it private to
2 themselves. They don't want you calling up asking 10
3 questions. And I found that to be the case with him.

4 Q. Let's go to page 832. And this is an
5 email from you dated February 14, 2023 to Ms. Tepper;
6 is that correct?

7 A. No. It was sent originally to Lawre.

8 Q. I see. And it was CC'd to Ms. Tepper.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Why are you now CC'ing her on these
11 emails?

12 A. Why was I or why wasn't I?

13 Q. Why were you?

14 A. I thought that because, as I said, as I
15 said, Tesla was a very, very good stock. And she had
16 mentioned to me that the investment club -- Lawre
17 owned -- Lawre owned Tesla in his account, I believe.
18 And I also sent it to her just to keep her informed as
19 a courtesy.

20 Q. Let's go to page 849, please. Do you
21 recognize this?

22 A. Yes, I do.

23 Q. And what is it?

24 A. This was an email from -- about things

1 happening in one of our investing in the Midwest
2 companies called Eli Lilly.

3 Q. And can you tell us the message you got
4 from Terri at 1:12 P.M. on August 8th?

5 A. She wrote back to me saying that Eli Lilly
6 is supposed to have some new drugs coming up for FDA
7 approval later this year. We'll be in touch.

8 Is that from me or her? I can't
9 tell.

10 Q. That's from you to her. But below is the
11 message from her.

12 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize. Yeah, I
13 wrote her. I said, there is supposed to have some
14 drugs coming up for FDA approval later this year.
15 This is approximately five months before he passed
16 away. Which she wrote back to me, yes, I have
17 (unintelligible) personal (unintelligible) with low
18 cost basis. I think it is the diabetes med being used
19 for weight loss that's pumping up the stock.

20 Q. Let's go to 857.

21 A. This was the -- this was the company that
22 he bought a couple years prior, AstraZeneca, DSKNY.
23 And as you could see I emailed him, carbon copied
24 Terri. Just said some good news on one of his stock

1 holdings today. The stock went up 14 percent in one
2 day.

3 Q. Thank you. And let's go to 86 -- 860,
4 please.

5 A. This -- this is an email from Lawre two
6 months before he passed away. So for the family to
7 sit here today and say he didn't have any mental
8 acu- -- he had mental acuity, he had mental
9 impairment, he wrote me back two months before he
10 passed away, our investment club bought this stock.
11 We're up 14 percent on Friday. That's from Terri but
12 it came from Lawre.

13 Q. And finally let's look at 862.

14 A. Yeah. This was the letter she wrote to
15 me, and spelled my name wrong in her (unintelligible).
16 This letter that was dated January 4th, 2024. I was
17 in their home to have them sign the papers. So she
18 testified today that I was at her house December 28th.
19 That's just not true. I was there on January 4th. It
20 was a Thursday evening. Came to the funeral two days
21 later.

22 Q. All right. Thank you. Let's look at
23 what -- we're just about done.

24 Let's look at Respondent's

1 Exhibit 18, which is number 864. And you reference
2 that you were invited to Mr. Weiner's memorial
3 service; is that correct?

4 A. Yes, that's correct.

5 Q. And what are -- what is Respondent's
6 Exhibit 18?

7 A. That's just -- that's just a brochure that
8 the family put out with his picture and his -- a few
9 thoughts on life and how long he lived and who his
10 speakers were at the funeral service.

11 Q. Great.

12 MR. McGUIRE: Mr. Ordower, I have no
13 further questions of Mr. Hymen. Thank you.

14 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. Does
15 that conclude your case other than any rebuttal?

16 MR. McGUIRE: It does, Mr. Ordower. I
17 would ask you, as you are deliberating, to
18 consider that there are many, many more emails he
19 did not reference for the -- in the interest of
20 time and in the interest of the two-hour time
21 limit I didn't go through each and every email,
22 but you can see the enormous level of
23 communication between Mr. Weiner and Mr. Hymen.

24 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.

1 Then let's take another five-minute break. And
2 then we'll go into the claimants's and
3 respondent's closings and any rebuttals that they
4 have starting with the claimant.

5 MR. MCGUIRE: Great. Thank you, sir.

6 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.

7 Thank you.

8 (Brief recess.)

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.

10 Good morning again. Thank you for your
11 presentations.

12 And Ms. Tepper, you are -- your
13 time to offer any rebuttal and closing arguments.
14 You may choose.

15 MS. TEPPER: Okay. I want to say that
16 Jerry was right, it was January 5th. I guess on
17 my -- in my calendar the December 28th, we must
18 have -- I have a -- I must have talked to him
19 because I have a call in at that time and we must
20 have arranged to have him come over on the 5th.
21 So he's right about the date when he came in.

22 I would like to say it was
23 pointed out that Lawre was well versed and
24 understood investments. And yet Jerry said, when

1 the Morgan Stanley account was brought over to
2 Oppenheimer, he assessed that account and said it
3 was in very poor condition and was not well done.

4 If Lawre was good in investments,
5 he would have recognized that. So it -- I think
6 that refutes the point that he knew what he was
7 doing in investments. He really didn't know.

8 Lawre could get on the phone. He
9 could write emails.

10 Oh, I wanted to point out, Jerry,
11 he wasn't a lobbyist. His expert was in labor
12 relations. That's what he did.

13 MR. TEPPER WEINER: That doesn't
14 matter.

15 MS. TEPPER: Yeah. I was just going
16 to point out he was not a lobbyist though.

17 But you broke up my point here.
18 What was I going to say?

19 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I have got some
20 points. Can I do some points while you're
21 thinking?

22 MS. TEPPER: Just --

23 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Let me do some
24 points while you're thinking.

1 Couple quick points. Jerry made
2 it very clear that the client didn't need to do
3 anything at all and that he had total control
4 over everything. And I would like to know why
5 the death benefits we missed out on of them.
6 Because by the time he was talking to my mom
7 about it, she knew something was wrong and the
8 answer is no. But if he had authorization to do
9 everything, why didn't he exercise the death
10 benefits and put it in the account?

11 MS. TEPPER: And he can answer.

12 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Next, I really
13 would like to know when the stock was that my dad
14 purchased for the Parkinson's thing because that
15 would probably clearly detail that it is prior to
16 what Mr. Hymen is stating that he knew.

17 MS. TEPPER: Okay. I know what I was
18 going to say.

19 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Go ahead.

20 MS. TEPPER: What I was going to say
21 is that Lawre was extremely paranoid. He could
22 write emails to people. He wrote to an attorney
23 he was afraid of a malpractice suit of something
24 that happened years before. And we couldn't

1 convince him that if there was any problem it
2 would have come up, Lawre, you don't need to do
3 anything. He in fact wrote a letter to this
4 attorney.

5 MR. TEPPER WEINER: He did.

6 MS. TEPPER: Yeah. And saying, I need
7 you to represent me and research this and find
8 out if there was any malpractice involved, you
9 know, years ago.

10 MR. TEPPER WEINER: And paid it.

11 MS. TEPPER: And paid --

12 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Paid the attorney.
13 The attorney refunded the money. He was an
14 honest guy.

15 I'm sorry, go ahead.

16 MS. TEPPER: So yes. The attorney
17 contacted me at one point, and I got a check in
18 the mail, I didn't know what it was for. And he
19 explained that Lawre had written him about a
20 possible malpractice suit and that there was no
21 findings and there was no reason for him
22 to -- and he refunded some money because I didn't
23 know what the check was for. So I didn't even
24 know some of the things Lawre was doing and that

1 he did. But he was just totally paranoid and out
2 of his mind.

3 I think that's all I was going to
4 say.

5 Other than that, it is clear that
6 Lawre had cognitive decline. But the brain is --
7 does strange things. At some point it is -- it
8 is very clear and sometimes it is less clear.
9 But it was clear that he had cognitive decline.

10 I have no other statement to
11 offer.

12 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay.
13 Mr. Weiner -- first, is it Weiner or Weiner? I
14 don't --

15 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Weiner. But that
16 doesn't matter, that's a moot point. It is
17 spelled the same, and so you are good. No way to
18 know.

19 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: I just wanted
20 to -- didn't want to misstate it myself.

21 Do you have anything you want to
22 add?

23 MR. TEPPER WEINER: You know, just
24 bottom line is that I think that I totally -- we

1 totally -- 100 percent, the cognitive decline is
2 an egregious multiplier. They weren't even
3 allowed to raise the (unintelligible) for him
4 being 80 and counting on the fiduciary services
5 that my dad contracted for.

6 And the fact that they violated
7 every regulatory entity and pre- -- and you can
8 see post blank, they clearly even predated the
9 time of my dad's signature. There is no -- there
10 is no going around that. It is -- they breached
11 fiduciary duties.

12 And, you know, the emails that he
13 was just showing, showing that -- I didn't want
14 to interrupt because I was trying to be polite --
15 my dad -- my dad sent him a link thinking that
16 that would let him have access to the user and
17 password in his account. It clearly shows that
18 there is a disconnect in his functional thinking.

19 And you know, all I can say is
20 that I love my dad. And I drove him everywhere.
21 And he had cognitive decline at the time of the
22 rate increase and --

23 MS. TEPPER: That's all we can say.
24 Okay. Thank you.

1 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I'm sorry. I am
2 done. I get too emotional over it. And I know I
3 shouldn't get emotional at a hearing and
4 tribunal.

5 ARBITRATOR ORDOWER: Well, you have
6 proven that you loved your dad, if nothing else.

7 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I did love my dad.
8 I loved him. He was my hero.

9 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: That's good.
10 Okay. Thank you.

11 Mr. McGuire, then I guess this is
12 your opportunity. And you're on mute.

13 MR. MCGUIRE: Yes. Which some people
14 might suggest is better place for me to be.

15 But what's clearly obvious from
16 this is the passing of Lawrence Weiner has had an
17 enormous affect on this family. Has left a huge
18 hole in their lives. There is no doubt that
19 that's true.

20 But what's equally obvious is
21 that it was not until February 2023, more than
22 two years after Lawrence Weiner agreed to a fee
23 increase in his managed accounts, that Jerald
24 Hymen became aware of some cognitive decline.

1 At the time of the fee increase
2 in January 2021, it is also obvious that
3 Mr. Weiner was looking to increase the income
4 flow from his securities holdings. He wanted to
5 buy more bonds. He wanted to do covered calls to
6 generate income because he needed the money to
7 live on because he was retired. And that in
8 order to accomplish that investment goal, Jerald
9 Hymen needed to do more work.

10 When a fiduciary takes on
11 additional responsibilities, the fiduciary has a
12 right to be properly compensated. It is obvious
13 that Mr. Hymen could have charged up to 3
14 percent. He increased fees from 1.25 percent to
15 1.95 percent.

16 He earned that increase, as is
17 obvious from the performance of the accounts.
18 The accounts earned more than \$450,000, and
19 Mr. Weiner was able to take \$380,000 out of the
20 accounts for his required minimum distribution.

21 So I would like to take a minute
22 to look at Respondent's Exhibit 12, which is page
23 278.

24 And Alexa, if we could pull that

1 up so we can all look at it at the same time, I
2 would appreciate it.

3 And while we're talking about the
4 obvious, I'll reference the motion I made at the
5 beginning of the hearing, and that is we cannot
6 treat Dr. Desai or Mr. Gorsline as experts. They
7 are fact witnesses. They're testifying about
8 what they have seen, not being qualified as an
9 expert at -- has written on cognitive decline or
10 has testified prior about cognitive decline or
11 has anything to do with diagnosing cognitive
12 decline. They are fact witnesses.

13 What's obvious from the fact that
14 they are fact witnesses, they can't be
15 compensated as experts. So if Mr. Jordan Weiner
16 made some arrangements with these people to
17 compensate them for their time, that's between
18 him and then.

19 But let's take a look at
20 Dr. Desai's letter. She was very impressive --
21 very impressive person. Clear that she cares
22 about her patients generally, and certainly
23 Mr. Weiner specifically.

24 But let's just look at the

1 letter. In 2019 it notes Mr. Weiner's height and
2 weight. His hearing is not great. Not wearing a
3 hearing aid.

4 I'll share with the -- with you,
5 Mr. Ordower, Mr. Hymen wears a hearing aid. My
6 wife wears a hearing aid.

7 His speech is a bit slow. Okay.
8 He is taking drugs for Parkinson's. Working but
9 noted fatigue.

10 Now we thought he retired in
11 2018. I don't know what this working means.

12 Let's look at 2020, the year
13 before the agreement to increase the fees. And
14 this is, of course, the height of COVID.
15 Developed a urinary problem. Maintains ability
16 to work out 30 minutes a day. That's pretty
17 good.

18 Continues boxing despite
19 diagnosis. That's pretty good for an 80-year-old
20 man.

21 2021 his weight decreased.
22 Recordings -- BP recordings shows stability, so
23 stable blood pressure. He's got, unfortunately,
24 post lung cancer diagnosis. And he continues

1 boxing despite diagnosis.

2 Nothing in here says anything,
3 anything about a cognitive decline.

4 And while Dr. Desai will now say
5 she's noticed something -- respectfully,
6 Mr. Ordower, I'm going to be 71. I have noticed
7 some cognitive decline too. And respectfully,
8 you and I are contemporaries. There may be times
9 you walk into the room and say, what did I walk
10 into this room for. That doesn't mean we can't
11 function. That doesn't mean we can't recognize
12 that we pay for a service. And when the service
13 is exemplary and increases, we pay more.

14 Jordan Weiner is also looking for
15 \$49,000 for reimbursement for his time. That
16 sounds like attorneys's fees to me. And as you
17 know, attorneys's fees can't be awarded to
18 somebody who is not an attorney. Moreover, the
19 American Rule, attorneys's fees can't be awarded
20 to -- unless there is a statute allowing for
21 that.

22 Let's talk about Mr. Hymen for a
23 second. It is obvious that he has been trained
24 by Oppenheimer how to recognize senior investor

1 issues. It is clear Oppenheimer maintains
2 policies and procedures to recognize issues
3 involving senior investors. And it is obvious
4 from Mr. Hymen's testimony that he has taken
5 steps in the past when he has had issues with
6 senior investors who have had cognitive decline
7 and need some intervention.

8 Now we have heard throughout
9 this, through our prehearing conferences and
10 today, references to potential resolutions
11 outside of this forum. And as I have noted
12 earlier, I was willing to waive the general
13 prohibition against that so that you could
14 consider why we're here today.

15 I don't want to be pejorative.
16 We're here today because, frankly, some clients
17 treat litigation as a lottery ticket.

18 It is unfortunate we have had to
19 go through this. I have 900 pages of documents
20 that we submitted. The -- Terri Tepper has
21 submitted 200 pages of documents.

22 It comes down to one document,
23 January 2021 signed by Mr. Weiner acknowledging
24 that he's going to pay more for enhanced service.

1 That's it. That is the hard stop.

2 All of this attack on Oppenheimer
3 by neither ceding to their demands given the hard
4 evidence is specious.

5 So Oppenheimer requests,
6 Mr. Ordower, that you dismiss this matter in its
7 entirety with no award to Ms. Tepper. That all
8 fees with regards to any prehearing conference
9 and with regards to this hearing be assessed
10 against claimants. And that we will have to
11 explore separately whether or not Mr. Hymen has
12 the ability to still seek expungement in another
13 forum.

14 But thank you, Mr. Ordower. I
15 very much appreciate your professionalism and
16 your attention here today.

17 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: All right.
18 Thank you.

19 Thank you to everybody. I know
20 it has been a difficult and at times contentious
21 course here. And, unfortunate, but
22 that's -- these things happen.

23 There is probably some speech I'm
24 supposed to give at the end of these hearings,

1 which I don't have in front of me and which you
2 probably don't need.

3 The one thing, which I do recall
4 from the forms, is that you are invited, I
5 believe, to -- to fill out a survey or something
6 of that sort on the arbitrator's performance if
7 you care to.

8 I will take a look at the
9 exhibits which have been uploaded as of today
10 prior to my making a decision. And I don't think
11 it will be very long before I issue a decision.
12 And you'll hear it from FINRA.

13 Is there any -- does anyone have
14 any questions about any of this before we
15 adjourn?

16 MR. TEPPER WEINER: I just want to
17 really thank you, your Honor. We appreciate your
18 time and your due consideration, and we feel
19 very, very confident.

20 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. And
21 Mr. Hymen, yes, you're on mute.

22 MR. HYMEN: I just wanted to say,
23 Mr. Ordower, thank you for your time and thank
24 you for operating this hearing today in a

1 professional manner, and I will -- we will await
2 your ruling.

3 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: Okay. All
4 right. Thank you then. And that will adjourn
5 the meeting.

6 So Mr. Hogan, I am turning it
7 over to you to -- oh, wait. Ms. Tepper, do you
8 have something to add?

9 MS. TEPPER: How do we hear about your
10 ruling -- what your opinion is?

11 ADMINISTRATOR ORDOWER: FINRA will
12 issue -- I will enter an award, which FINRA
13 approves and -- just as to form. And they don't
14 have a role in the decision itself. That's my
15 decision. And then they will notify you, I'm
16 sure, by email that there has been a decision.
17 And if you have any questions, you can always
18 contact the case administrator.

19 MS. TEPPER: Thank you.

20 MR. TEPPER WEINER: Thank you again
21 truly.

22 MS. TEPPER: Thank you.

23

24

1 CERTIFICATE

2 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have listened
3 to the recording of the foregoing; that the foregoing
4 was reduced to typewritten form from a Zoom recording;
5 and that the foregoing is an accurate record of the
6 recording as above transcribed in this matter.

7
8 /s/Pamela S. Warren

November 5, 2025
Date

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24